This report is intended only for state employees in states participating in the Drug Effectiveness Review Project (DERP). Do not distribute outside your state Medicaid agency and public agency partners.

DERP VI Surveillance: Second-Generation Antipsychotic Drugs

November 2019



Table of Contents

Objectives	1
Topic History and Context	1
Key Questions	1
PICOS	2
Methods	5
Findings	5
New Drugs or Formulations	5
New Indications	5
New Serious Harms or Warnings	5
Randomized Controlled Trials	6
Ongoing Studies	7
Summary	11
References	13
Appendix A. Abstracts of New Eligible Studies	15
Appendix B. ITS Ratings and Definitions	19

Objectives

The purpose of this Drug Effectiveness Review Project (DERP) surveillance report is to preview the volume and nature of new research and relevant clinical information that has emerged since the last scan on second-generation antipsychotic (SGAs) drugs. The literature search for this report focused on new randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews (with and without meta-analysis), and actions taken by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) such as new drugs, formulations, indications, or identified serious harms since the last report. Comprehensive searches, quality assessments, and a synthesis of evidence would follow this surveillance report only if DERP participants commission an update review or another research product type for this topic. Comprehensive searches might identify additional eligible studies.

Topic History and Context

This report is the first surveillance document on this topic since the completion of the second scan in November 2018 for the Update #5 report presented in October 2016. The search dates for the scan presented in November 2018 were through September 2018. The search dates for all previous reports are shown in Table 1.

• •				
Document Type	Date Presented	Search Dates		
Scan #2	November 2018	Through September 2018		
Scan #1	April 2017	Through March 2017		
Update #5 Report	October 2016	Through July 2016		

Table 1. Rec	ent Topic Hi	story and Sea	arch Dates
--------------	--------------	---------------	------------

Key Questions

- 1. For adults and adolescents with schizophrenia (including a first episode) and other psychotic disorders, do second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs) differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 2. For adults with major depressive disorder (MDD), do SGAs differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 3. For adults with bipolar disorder, do SGAs differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 4. For children and adolescents with bipolar disorder,
 - a. Do SGAs differ from placebo in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
 - b. Do SGAs differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 5. For children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder (ASD),
 - a. Do SGAs differ from placebo in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
 - b. Do SGAs differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 6. For children and adolescents with disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders,
 - a. Do SGAs differ from placebo in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?

- b. Do SGAs differ from each other in terms of benefits (efficacy, effectiveness) or harms?
- 7. Are there subgroups of patients based on demographics, socioeconomic status, other medications, or comorbidities for which a particular SGA is more effective or associated with fewer harms?

PICOS

Population

Diagnosis based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) criteria is preferred, but we accepted investigator-defined criteria for diagnosis in the absence of DSM-5 criteria.

- Adults (age 18 years or older) and adolescents (age 12 to 17 years) with a diagnosis of schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders, such as schizophreniform disorder (<6 months duration of schizophrenia symptoms), delusional and schizoaffective disorders, first-episode schizophrenia, and patients refractory to treatment
- Adults (age 18 years or older), adolescents (age 12 to 17 years), and children (under age 12 years) with bipolar disorder (manic or depressive phases, rapid cycling, mixed states)
- Adults with MDD
- Children (under age 12 years) or adolescents (age 12 to 17 years) with a DSM-5 diagnosis for ASD
- Children (under age 12 years) or adolescents (age 12 to 17 years) with a DSM-5 diagnosis of disruptive, impulse control, or conduct disorders.

Interventions

Generic Name	Brand Name	Form	Initial Year Approved	Populations
	Abilify	Oral tablet	2002	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b,c} ASD ^{b,c} MDD ^a
Aripiprazole	Abilify Maintena Kit	ER IM injection	2013	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^a
	Abilify MyCite Kit	Oral tablet	2017	Schizophreniaª Bipolar disorderª MDDª
Aripiprazole	Aristada	ER IM injection	2015	Cabinanhuaniad
Lauroxil	Aristada Initio Kit	ER IM injection	2018	Schizophrenia ^a
Asenapine	Saphris	Sublingual tablet	2009	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^{a,b}
Brexpiprazole	Rexulti	Oral tablet	2015	Schizophrenia ^a MDD ^a
Cariprazine	Vraylar	Oral capsule	2015	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^a

Table 2. Included Interventions

Generic Name	Brand Name	Form	Initial Year Approved	Populations
Clozapine	Clozaril	Oral tablet	1989	
	Fazaclo	ODT	2004	Schizophrenia ^a
	Versacloz	Oral suspension	2013	
lloperidone	Fanapt	Oral tablet	2009	Schizophrenia ^a
Lurasidone	Latuda	Oral tablet	2010	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b,c}
	Zyprexa	Oral tablet	1996	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b}
Olanzapine	Zyprexa	IM injection	2004	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^a
	Zyprexa Zydis	ODT	2000	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b}
Olanzapine Pamoate	Zyprexa Relprevv	ER IM injection	2009	Schizophrenia ^a
Paliperidone	Invega	ER oral tablet	2006	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Schizoaffective disorder ^a
Paliperidone Palmitate	Invega Sustenna	ER IM injection	2009	Schizophrenia ^a Schizoaffective disorder ^a
	Invega Trinza	ER IM injection	2015	Schizophrenia ^a
Quetiapine	Seroquel	Oral tablet	1997	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b,c}
	Seroquel XR	ER oral tablet	2007	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar disorder ^{a,b,c} MDD ^a
	Risperdal	Oral tablet Oral solution	1993 1996	Schizophrenia ^{a,b} Bipolar
Risperidone	Risperdal M-TAB	ODT	2003	disorder ^{a,b,c} ASD ^{b,c}
	Risperdal Consta	Long-acting IM injection	2003	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^a
	Perseris Kit	ER SC suspension	2018	Schizophrenia ^a
Ziprasidone	Geodon	Oral capsule	2001	Schizophrenia ^a Bipolar disorder ^a
		IM injection	2002	Schizophrenia ^a

Note. * Overview of populations with FDA-approved indications; full details available in product labels. ^a Adults, ^b adolescents, ^c children. Abbreviations. ASD: autism spectrum disorder; ER: extended-release; IM: intramuscular; Max: maximum; MDD: major depressive disorder; ODT: orally disintegrating tablet; SC: subcutaneous; XR: extended-release.

Comparators

- Head-to-head
 - All populations
- Placebo

- Children and adolescents with bipolar disorder, ASD, or disruptive, impulse control, or conduct disorders
- Adults with MDD (add-on or background therapy)

Efficacy Outcomes

All populations

- Quality of life (validated scales)
- Functional capacity (i.e., social, academic, activities of daily living, employment, and encounters with the legal system)
- Hospitalization (due to mental illness and all-cause), emergency department visits
- Persistence (i.e., ability to continue taking medication over time)

Excluded: very short term studies that focused exclusively on treatment of acute agitation associated with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder

Adults and adolescents with schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, first-episode schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and MDD

- Mortality
- Symptom response (e.g., global state, mental state, positive and negative symptoms), response rates, duration of response, remission, relapse, speed of response, and time to discontinuation of medication

Children and adolescents with disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders

• Symptom response (e.g., global state, irritability, aggressiveness, self-injurious behavior), response rates, duration of response, remission, relapse, speed of response, time to discontinuation of medication

Children and adolescents with disruptive, impulse control, and conduct disorders

- Symptom response (e.g., global state, irritability, noncompliance, aggressive conduct, property damage, theft)
- Disciplinary consequences (e.g., detention, suspension, encounters with the legal system)

Harms Outcomes

- Overall adverse events
- Withdrawals due to adverse events, time to withdrawal due to adverse events
- Specific adverse events
 - Major: those that are life-threatening, result in long-term morbidity, or require medical intervention to treat (e.g., mortality, cardiovascular, and cerebrovascular disease-related events, development of diabetes mellitus, diabetic ketoacidosis, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, seizures, tardive dyskinesia, cardiomyopathies and cardiac arrhythmias, agranulocytosis)
 - General: incidence of extrapyramidal adverse events, clinically important weight change, metabolic syndrome, and incidence and severity of adverse sexual events

Study Designs

RCTs

Methods

Generally, surveillance documents aim to identify studies conducted between the last search date used in the previous research document through the present. However, given the size and scope of this topic, we expanded the search date to include the period beginning on the last search date used in the last systematic review and ending on the present day. Using the PICOS outlined above, we searched for eligible RCTs in ClinicalTrials.gov, the ISRCTN Registry, and the FDA website. Using relevant clinical trial numbers and other identifiers, we then searched Epub Ahead of Print, Ovid MEDLINE, and Ovid MEDLINE In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations from January 1, 2015, through September 5, 2019. We used Google Search to identify studies published since the implementation of the search strategy in the last scan (November 2018). We used limits for English language and human participants. We also searched reference lists of relevant systematic reviews published within the last 3 years to capture additional relevant trials not registered elsewhere. We searched the FDA website to identify newly approved drugs, formulations, indications, and new serious harms (e.g., boxed warnings) or warnings for included interventions. To identify new drugs, we used Google and searched CenterWatch, a privately-owned database of clinical trials information.

Findings

New Drugs or Formulations

No new drugs or formulations were identified within the surveillance period.

New Indications

In May 2019, cariprazine (Vraylar) received a new indication for the treatment of depressive episodes associated with bipolar I disorder in adults.¹ Previously, use of cariprazine in adults with bipolar disorder had been limited to acute treatment of manic or mixed episodes.

New Serious Harms or Warnings

We identified numerous serious harms and warnings related to several drugs included in this surveillance period, which are detailed in Table 3.

	Table 5. New Schous Harris of Warnings				
Generic Name	Brand Name(s)	Date	Summary of Harm or Warning		
Aripiprazole	Abilify	8/7/2019	Potential presence in maternal breastmilk; poor weight gain in breastfed infants ²		
Aripiprazole lauroxil	Aristada	11/30/2018	Increased risk of death for elderly patients with dementia-related psychosis ³		
Cariprazine	Vraylar	5/24/2019	Increased risk of suicidal thoughts or behaviors in children, adolescents, and young adults (patients < 24 years) ⁴		
Paliperidone/ Paliperidone palmitate	Invega, Invega Sustenna, Invega Trinza	1/25/2019	Somnambulism (sleepwalking) ⁵⁻⁷		
Quetiapine	Seroquel, Seroquel XR	8/26/2019	Potential for infertility due to increased serum prolactin levels ^{8,9}		
Risperidone	Risperdal, Risperdal Consta	1/25/2019	Somnambulism (sleepwalking) ^{10,11}		

Table 3. New Serious H	larms or Warnings
------------------------	-------------------

Generic Name	Brand Name(s)	Date	Summary of Harm or Warning
Ziprasidone	Geodon	11/5/2018	Increased risk of cerebrovascular adverse reactions (e.g., stroke) and death in elderly patients with dementia-related psychosis ¹²

Abbreviation. XR, extended release.

Randomized Controlled Trials

We identified 6 new RCTs in this surveillance period (Table 4), including 3 head-to-head trials and 3 placebo-controlled trials.

All 3 head-to-head trials were conducted in adolescents and/or adults with schizophrenia and study samples ranged from 101 to 1,016 participants. Two head-to-head trials evaluated oral formulations of aripiprazole, cariprazine, quetiapine, risperidone, and ziprasidone. The other head-to-head trial compared long-acting injectable formulations of aripiprazole and paliperidone.

Of the 3 placebo-controlled trials, 1 study (N = 321) evaluated the use of oral asenapine in children and adolescents with bipolar disorder. The other 2 placebo-controlled trials (N = 394 and 812) evaluated the use of oral brexpiprazole and cariprazine as treatment adjunctive to antidepressants in adults with MDD.

Author, Year NCT	N Duration Population	Intervention Comparison(s)	Outcomes
Schizophrenia			
Gomez-Revuelta, 2018 ¹³ NCT02526030	N = 202 3 years Adolescents and adults	Aripiprazole oral tablet 5 to 30 mg/day Quetiapine oral tablet 100 to 600 mg/day Ziprasidone oral capsule 40 to 160 mg/day	Time to discontinuation, symptom severity, adverse events
Cuomo, 2018 ¹⁴	N = 101 1 year Adults with comorbid SUD ^a	Aripiprazole long-acting injectable 400 mg once- monthly Paliperidone palmitate long-acting injectable 100 mg once-monthly	Symptom severity, quality of life, adverse events
Nemeth, 2017 ¹⁵	N = 461 26 weeks Adults with predominantly negative symptoms	Cariprazine oral capsule 3 to 6 mg/day Risperidone oral 3 to 6 mg/day	Symptom severity, adverse events

Table 4. Randomized Controlled Trials

Author, Year NCT	N Duration Population	Intervention Comparison(s)	Outcomes
Bipolar Disorder			
Findling, 2016 ¹⁶ NCT01349907	N = 321 50 weeks Children and adolescents (10 to 17 years)	Asenapine sublingual tablet 2.5 to 10 mg twice daily Placebo	Long-term safety (e.g., adverse events)
Major Depressive Dis	order		
Hobart, 2018 ¹⁷ NCT02196506	N = 394 6 weeks Adults	Brexpiprazole oral tablet 2 mg/day* Placebo* (*Adjunct to antidepressants)	Symptom severity, disability, adverse events
Durgam, 2016 ¹⁸ NCT01469377	N = 812 8 weeks Adults	Cariprazine oral capsule 1 to 4.5 mg/day* Placebo* (*Adjunct to antidepressants)	Symptom severity, response or remission, adverse events

Abbreviations. SUD: substance use disorder. Notes.^a Includes schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders and bipolar disorder with psychotic features comorbid with a DSM-5 SUD.^b Flexible and fixed dosing depending on stage of trial.

Ongoing Studies

We identified 16 ongoing studies in this surveillance period (Table 5). More than half of all ongoing studies (N = 10) pertained to SGA use in adults with schizophrenia. Three of 4 studies pertaining to MDD were placebo-controlled trials evaluating the use of SGAs as adjuncts to antidepressant therapy in adults. We identified 1 placebo-controlled trial of aripiprazole in children and adolescents with ASD, and 1 trial comparing aripiprazole, paliperidone, or usual treatment in adolescents and adults with substance use disorder and psychosis. Based on the date of estimated primary study completion, we estimate that 8 of these studies may be published within the next year.

NCT Study Name	Estimated Enrollment Duration Population	Intervention Comparison(s)	Outcomes	Estimated Primary Completion
Schizophrenia				
NCT02360319 PRELAPSE	N = 488 2 years Adults	Aripiprazole long- acting injection	Hospitalization, symptom severity, quality of life	March 2019

Table 5. Ongoing Studies

NCT Study Name	Estimated Enrollment	Intervention Comparison(s)	Outcomes	Estimated Primary
·	Duration Population			Completion
		Treatment as usual (any FDA approved antipsychotic)		
NCT03345979	N = 200 25 weeks Adults	Aripiprazole lauroxil long-acting injection Paliperidone	Symptom severity	March 2019
		palmitate long- acting injection		
NCT03465787	N = 206 6 weeks Adults	Lurasidone oral tablet	Symptom severity	March 2019
		Quetiapine extended-release oral tablet		
NCT03090503	N = 200 3 years Adolescents and	Aripiprazole oral tablet	Discontinuation, symptom severity	June 2019
	adults; first- episode psychosis	Risperidone oral tablet		
NCT03874494	N = 370 6 weeks Adults	Brexpiprazole oral tablet	Symptom severity, response rate	June 2019
		Aripiprazole oral tablet		
NCT02431702 DREaM	N = 337 18 months Adults	Aripiprazole Olanzapine	Treatment failure, cognitive performance,	October 2019
<u>Conference</u> <u>Abstract</u>		Paliperidone extended-release oral tablet	symptom severity, adverse events	
Conference Poster		Quetiapine		
		Risperidone oral tablet		
		Paliperidone palmitate extended-release injection (once monthly)		
		Paliperidone palmitate extended-release		

NCT	Estimated	Intervention	Outcomes	Estimated
Study Name	Enrollment	Comparison(s)		Primary
,	Duration			Completion
	Population			
		injection (once		
		every 3 months)		
NCT03883204	N = 115	Aripiprazole oral	Cognitive	December 2019
	3 years Adolescents and	tablet	functioning	
	adults; first-	Risperidone oral		
	episode psychosis	tablet		
NCT03345342	N = 841	Paliperidone	Relapse,	July 2020
	1 year	palmitate	symptom	
	Adults	extended-release injection (every 6	severity, remission	
		months)	10111351011	
		·		
		Paliperidone		
		palmitate extended-release		
		injection (every 3		
		months at 350 mg)		
		Paliperidone		
		palmitate extended-release		
		injection (every 3		
		months at 525 mg)		
		Paliperidone		
		palmitate extended-release		
		injection (once		
		monthly)		
NCT03510325	N = 1,260	Olanzapine oral	Symptom	September 2020
	1 year	tablet	severity	
	Adults; first- episode psychosis	Risperidone oral		
	episode psychosis	tablet		
		Aripiprazole oral		
		tablet		
		Paliperidone long-		
		acting injection		
		(once monthly)		
NCT03198078	N = 480	Brexpiprazole oral	Symptom	November 2021
	6 weeks	tablet	severity, adverse	
	Adolescents	Aripiprazole oral	events	
		tablet		

NCT	Estimated	Intervention	Outcomes	Fatimated	
	Estimated	Comparison(s)	Outcomes	Estimated Primary	
Study Name	Duration	Comparison(s)		Completion	
	Population				
Major Depressive Disorder					
NCT03148509	N = 300	Risperidone	Symptom	December 2019	
	8 weeks	Rispericione	severity	Becomber 2017	
	Adolescents and	Aripiprazole			
	adults				
NCT03738215 NCT03739203	N = 750 6 weeks	Cariprazine oral	Symptom	July 2021	
NC103739203	Adults	capsule*	severity		
	Addits	Placebo*			
		(*Adjunct to			
		antidepressant			
NCT02/07/02	N = 720	therapy)	Companya ang	huh (2022	
NCT03697603	N = 720 14 weeks	Brexpiprazole oral tablet*	Symptom severity	July 2022	
	Adults	lablet	Seventy		
	,	Placebo*			
		(*Adjunct to			
		antidepressant			
NCT03538691	N = 1,450	therapy) Brexpiprazole oral	Relapse, disability	September 2022	
11010000071	46 weeks	tablet*	Relapse, alsosinty	September 2022	
	Adults				
		Placebo*			
		(*A diment to			
		(*Adjunct to antidepressant			
		therapy)			
Autism Spectrum Disorder					
NCT03487770	N = 100	Aripiprazole oral	Symptom	April 2020	
	8 weeks	solution	severity,		
	Children and		response		
	adolescents	Placebo			
Comorbid Populations					
NCT03485417	N = 240	Aripiprazole oral	Psychosis	December 2021	
	3 years	or depot	relapse, cognitive		
	Adolescents and adults; substance	Paliperidone oral	function		
	use disorder and	or depot (Invega			
	psychosis	Sustenna or Invega			
		Trinza)			
	Food and Drug Admin	Treatment as usual			

Abbreviation. FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Summary

Since the completion of the most recent updated DERP systematic review in October 2016, we identified the following:

- 14 new RCTs (6 in this surveillance document)
 - 7 head-to-head studies (3 in this surveillance document)
 - 7 placebo-controlled trials (3 in this surveillance document)
- 16 ongoing studies
 - 12 head-to-head studies
 - 4 placebo-controlled trials
- 3 new indications (1 in this surveillance document)
 - Cariprazine oral capsule (Vraylar) for depressive episodes associated with bipolar I disorder in adults (in this surveillance document)
 - Aripiprazole extended-release injection (Abilify Maintena Kit) for maintenance monotherapy treatment of bipolar disorder in adults
 - Lurasidone oral tablet (Latuda) for monotherapy treatment of bipolar disorder in pediatric patients ages 10 to 17 years
- 7 new serious harms or warnings
 - Implications for use in pregnant women (presence in breastmilk)
 - Increased adverse effects in the elderly (stroke, death) as well as children, adolescents, and young adults (suicidality)
 - Occurrences of sleepwalking
 - Potential risk of infertility
- 3 new formulations
 - Aripiprazole (Abilify MyCite Kit) oral tablet (drug-device combination, daily administration)
 - Aripiprazole lauroxil (Aristada Initio Kit) extended-release intramuscular injection (treatment initiation)
 - Risperidone (Perseris Kit) extended-release subcutaneous suspension (monthly administration)

Using the *Is There a There There Scale* (ITS) (Table 6), we rated this topic as Yes (see Appendix B for ratings and definitions).

Clinical Evidence	Yes	No
	How many?	
New Comparative Trial	☑ 7 (11 publications)	
New Placebo-Controlled Trial (if needed)	☑ 7	
New Meaningful ^a Study	☑ 2	
Ongoing Study Likely to be Published in the Next Year	☑ 8	

Table 6. Summary and ITS Rating

FDA Actions	Yes	No		
	Description			
New Drug or Fermulation	\checkmark			
New Drug or Formulation	3 new formulations			
New Indication	\checkmark			
New Indication	3			
Now Sorious Horm or Warning	\checkmark			
New Serious Harm or Warning	7			
ITC Dating Vac				

ITS Rating: Yes

Abbreviation. ITS: Is There a There There Scale. Note. ^a Large studies (\geq 1,000 participants), studies that have long-term follow-up (\geq 12 months), studies that compare one drug with another that is considered the standard of care or has not been reported and is clinically important, and studies that include an intervention or outcome that is not previously reported in the literature or is clinically important (e.g., mortality) and adds to the body of literature.

References

- 1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Cariprazine (Vraylar) product label. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/204370s006lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Aripiprazole (Abilify) drug safety-related labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=38</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Aripiprazole lauroxil (Aristada) drug safety-related labeling changes. 2018; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se archdetail.page&DrugNameID=291</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Cariprazine (Vraylar) drug safety-related labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=857</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- 5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Paliperidone palmitate (Invega Sustenna) drug safetyrelated labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=68</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Paliperidone (Invega) drug safety-related labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=67</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Paliperidone palmitate (Invega Trinza) drug safetyrelated labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=69</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Quetiapine (Seroquel) drug safety-related labeling changes. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=141</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Quetiapine (Seroquel XR) drug safety-related labeling changes. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se archdetail.page&DrugNameID=142</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.

- 10. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Risperidone (Risperdal) drug safety-related labeling changes. 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se archdetail.page&DrugNameID=76. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- 11. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Risperidone (Risperdal Consta) drug safety-related labeling change. 2019; <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se</u> <u>archdetail.page&DrugNameID=79</u>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- 12. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Ziprasidone (Geodon) drug safety-related labeling changes. 2018; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/safetylabelingchanges/index.cfm?event=se archdetail.page&DrugNameID=858. Accessed September 5, 2019.
- 13. Gomez-Revuelta M, Pelayo-Teran JM, Juncal-Ruiz M, et al. Long-Term Antipsychotic Effectiveness in First Episode of Psychosis: A 3-Year Follow-Up Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Aripiprazole, Quetiapine, and Ziprasidone. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2018;21(12):1090-1101. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyy082.
- 14. Cuomo I, Kotzalidis GD, de Persis S, et al. Head-to-head comparison of 1-year aripiprazole long-acting injectable (LAI) versus paliperidone LAI in comorbid psychosis and substance use disorder: impact on clinical status, substance craving, and quality of life. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2018;14:1645-1656. doi: 10.2147/ndt.S171002.
- 15. Nemeth G, Laszlovszky I, Czobor P, et al. Cariprazine versus risperidone monotherapy for treatment of predominant negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10074):1103-1113. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(17)30060-0.
- 16. Findling RL, Landbloom RL, Mackle M, et al. Long-term Safety of Asenapine in Pediatric Patients Diagnosed With Bipolar I Disorder: A 50-Week Open-Label, Flexible-Dose Trial. *Paediatr Drugs*. 2016;18(5):367-378. doi: 10.1007/s40272-016-0184-2.
- 17. Hobart M, Skuban A, Zhang P, et al. A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study of the Efficacy and Safety of Fixed-Dose Brexpiprazole 2 mg/d as Adjunctive Treatment of Adults With Major Depressive Disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2018;79(4). doi: 10.4088/JCP.17m12058.
- 18. Durgam S, Earley W, Guo H, et al. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive cariprazine in inadequate responders to antidepressants: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study in adult patients with major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2016;77(3):371-378. doi: 10.4088/JCP.15m10070.

Appendix A. Abstracts of New Eligible Studies

Cuomo I, Kotzalidis GD, de Persis S, et al. Head-to-head comparison of 1-year aripiprazole longacting injectable (LAI) versus paliperidone LAI in comorbid psychosis and substance use disorder: impact on clinical status, substance craving, and quality of life. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2018;14:1645-1656.

Background: To overcome nonadherence in patients with psychosis switch to long-acting injectable (LAI) antipsychotic formulations is adopted. Most oral versus LAI comparisons showed similar antipsychotic responses. Psychoses often overlap with substance use disorder (SUD). Head-to-head LAI comparisons have hitherto focused only on non-comorbid populations. Objective: The objective of this study was to compare two LAIs, administered for 12 months, in initially hospitalized patients with psychosis comorbid with SUD in their clinical and quality of life (QoL) outcomes. Patients and methods: Inpatients were recruited during 2016 and switched randomly to 400 mg intramuscular aripiprazole monohydrate (AM) (N=50) or to 100 mg intramuscular paliperidone palmitate (PP) once-monthly (N=51); patients were discharged and followed up for 12 months. Patients were rated at baseline and after 1 year through the Clinical Global Impression scale - severity (CGIs), substance craving intensity was rated through a visual analog scale for substance craving, and QoL through the World Health Organization (WHOQOL-BREF) scale. We addressed confounders with backward stepwise logistic regression and threeway analysis of variance. Results: PP were older and had more cases of schizophrenia spectrum and less bipolar disorders than AM, but AM had a stronger craving for substances at baseline. Both LAIs were associated with significant improvements in all outcomes, with AM displaying stronger effect sizes than PP. The two groups did not differ on baseline WHOQOL-BREF scores in any domain, but at the 1-year follow-up, AM fared better on all domains. The two groups did not differ in final severity, but PP scored higher than AM in craving at the 1-year endpoint. Limitation: The CGIs is not a refined tool for severity and the substance craving may be subject to recall bias. Conclusion: 1-year AM and PP was followed by improved clinical status and QoL and reduced substance craving in a population with psychosis and SUD comorbidity. AM, compared to PP, improved craving and QoL at the 1-year follow-up.

Durgam S, Earley W, Guo H, et al. Efficacy and safety of adjunctive cariprazine in inadequate responders to antidepressants: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in adult patients with major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2016;77(3):371-378.

BACKGROUND: Cariprazine is an atypical antipsychotic currently under investigation as adjunctive therapy in patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) who have inadequate response to standard antidepressant therapy. METHOD: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, flexible-dose study was conducted from December 2011 to December 2013 in adults who met DSM-IV-TR criteria for MDD and had an inadequate antidepressant response. Eligible patients were randomized to 8-week adjunctive treatment with placebo (n = 269), cariprazine 1-2 mg/d (n = 274), or cariprazine 2-4.5 mg/d (n = 276). The primary efficacy parameter was change from baseline to week 8 in Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) total score; P values were adjusted for multiple comparisons. Safety assessments included adverse events, clinical laboratory tests, vital signs, electrocardiograms (ECGs), and suicidality. RESULTS: Compared with placebo, reduction in MADRS total score at week 8 was significantly greater with adjunctive cariprazine 2-4.5 mg/d (least squares mean difference [LSMD] = -2.2; adjusted P =

.0114), but not with cariprazine 1-2 mg/d (LSMD = -0.9; adjusted P = .2404). Significant LSMDs for MADRS total score change were detected at all earlier study visits (weeks 2, 4, 6) in the 2- to 4.5-mg/d group and at weeks 2 and 4 in the 1- to 2-mg/d group (all P values < .05). Treatment-emergent adverse events reported in >/= 10% of patients in either cariprazine dosage group were akathisia (22.3%), insomnia (13.6%), and nausea (12.8%) (all in 2- to 4.5-mg/d group). Mean changes in metabolic parameters, vital signs, and ECG parameters were generally similar between groups. No suicide-related adverse events were reported. DISCUSSION: These results show that adjunctive cariprazine 2-4.5 mg/d was effective and generally well tolerated in adults with MDD who had inadequate responses to standard antidepressants. Further clinical studies to confirm these results are warranted. TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01469377.

Findling RL, Landbloom RL, Mackle M, et al. Long-term safety of asenapine in pediatric patients diagnosed with bipolar I disorder: a 50-week open-label, flexible-dose trial. *Paediatr Drugs*. 2016;18(5):367-378.

BACKGROUND: Sublingually administered asenapine was approved in March 2015 by the United States Food and Drug Administration for patients aged 10-17 years with an acute manic or mixed episode associated with bipolar I disorder (BP-1). This is the first long-term safety and tolerability study of asenapine in this population. METHODS: Following the 3-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of patients aged 10-17 years with an acute manic or mixed episode associated with BP-1, patients could enroll in this flexible-dose (2.5-10 mg twice daily) open-label extension (OLE) study for an additional 50 weeks, conducted from August 2011 to September 2014 in the United States and Russia. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) were assessed and predefined TEAEs of interest reported in addition to metabolic and anthropometric parameters. The Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) and Clinical Global Impressions scale in bipolar illness (CGI-BP) were used to assess effectiveness. RESULTS: A total of 321 patients (lead-in study treatment: placebo, n = 80; asenapine, n = 241) were included; 267 (83.2 %) reported one or more TEAE and 181 (56.4 %) discontinued early, 48 (15.0 %) due to TEAEs. Of the predefined TEAEs of interest, combined somnolence/sedation/hypersomnia occurred most frequently (42.4 %) followed by oral hypoesthesia/dysgeusia (7.5 %). In total, 109 (34.8%) patients experienced clinically significant weight gain (>/=7\% increase). No clinically meaningful changes were noted for laboratory parameters measured. Eighteen patients met the criteria for new-onset metabolic syndrome (MBS) post-baseline during the extension study, whereas 10 patients who met MBS criteria at baseline did not meet MBS criteria at endpoint. A total of 12 patients met MBS at baseline and endpoint. Mean change in YMRS total score from OLE baseline was -9.2 points at week 50, and change in CGI-BP severity overall score was similar among all treatment groups (those who initially received asenapine and those who initially received placebo). After 26 weeks of treatment in the OLE, 79.2 % of patients were classified as YMRS 50 % responders relative to acute trial baseline. CONCLUSIONS: Asenapine was generally well tolerated in pediatric patients with BP-1 during </=50 weeks of open-label treatment; among predefined TEAEs of interest, the combination of somnolence/sedation/hypersomnia was the most common. Trial registration ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01349907.

Gomez-Revuelta M, Pelayo-Teran JM, Juncal-Ruiz M, et al. Long-term antipsychotic effectiveness in first episode of psychosis: a 3-year follow-up randomized clinical trial

comparing aripiprazole, quetiapine, and ziprasidone. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2018;21(12):1090-1101.

Background: Different effectiveness profiles among second-generation antipsychotics may be a key point to optimize treatment in patients suffering a first episode of psychosis to affect longterm outcome. The aim of this study was to compare the clinical effectiveness of aripiprazole, ziprasidone, and quetiapine in the treatment of first episode of psychosis at 3-year follow-up. Method: From October 2005 to January 2011, a prospective, randomized, open-label study was undertaken. Two hundred-two first-episode, drug-naive patients were randomly assigned to aripiprazole (n=78), ziprasidone (n=62), or quetiapine (n=62) and followed-up for 3 years. The primary effectiveness measure was all cause of treatment discontinuation. In addition, an analysis based on the intention-to-treat principle was conducted in the analysis for clinical efficacy. Results: The overall dropout rate at 3 years reached 19.3%. Treatment discontinuation rates were significantly different among treatment groups (aripiprazole=73.08%, ziprasidone=79.03%, and quetiapine=95.16%) (chi2=11.680; P=.001). Statistically significant differences in terms of nonefficacy, nonadherence, and side effects were observed among treatment groups along the 3-year follow-up determining significant differences in time to allcause discontinuation (log-rank=32.260; P=.001). Significant differences between treatments were found in the categories of sleepiness/sedation (chi2=9.617; P=.008) and increased sleep duration (chi2=6.192; P=.004). No significant differences were found in the profile of extrapyramidal symptoms. Patients on aripiprazole were more likely to be prescribed benzodiazepines. Conclusions: First-episode psychosis patients on quetiapine were more likely to discontinue treatment due to nonefficacy. Identifying different discontinuation patterns may contribute to optimize treatment selection after first episode of psychosis.

Hobart M, Skuban A, Zhang P, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of fixed-dose brexpiprazole 2 mg/d as adjunctive treatment of adults with major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2018;79(4).

OBJECTIVE: To assess the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of brexpiprazole as adjunct to antidepressant treatment (ADT) in adults with major depressive disorder (MDD) and inadequate response to ADTs. METHODS: Outpatients with inadequate response to 1-3 ADTs during their current depressive episode (DSM-IV-TR criteria) were administered prospective, open-label ADT. Those patients with inadequate response to prospective ADT were randomized to double-blind, adjunctive brexpiprazole 2 mg/d or placebo. The primary efficacy end point was the change from baseline (randomization) to week 6 in Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) total score. Key secondary efficacy end points were the change in Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) mean score for all patients and the change in MADRS total score for subgroups with minimal response to prospective ADT and DSM-5-defined anxious distress. The study was conducted from July 2014 to May 2016. RESULTS: Adjunctive brexpiprazole (n = 191) improved MADRS total score from baseline to week 6 versus placebo (n = 202; least squares mean difference [95% confidence limits]: -2.30 [-3.97, -0.62]; P = .0074). There was no separation between groups for the SDS mean score (-0.22 [-0.66, 0.23]; P = .33). Adjunctive brexpiprazole also improved MADRS total score versus placebo in the subgroups with minimal response to prospective ADT (-2.25 [-4.23, -0.27]; P = .026) and anxious distress (-2.98 [-5.24, -0.72]; P = .0099). Treatment with adjunctive brexpiprazole was well tolerated with no unexpected side effects.

CONCLUSIONS: This study adds to the substantial body of evidence for the efficacy and tolerability of brexpiprazole as adjunctive treatment in patients with MDD and inadequate response to ADTs. TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02196506; EudraCT number: 2014-000062-22.

Nemeth G, Laszlovszky I, Czobor P, et al. Cariprazine versus risperidone monotherapy for treatment of predominant negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10074):1103-1113.

BACKGROUND: Although predominant negative symptoms of schizophrenia can be severe enough to cause persistent impairment, effective treatment options are lacking. We aimed to assess the new generation antipsychotic cariprazine in adult patients with predominant negative symptoms. METHODS: In this randomised, double-blind, phase 3b trial, we enrolled adults aged 18-65 years with long-term (>2 year), stable schizophrenia and predominant negative symptoms (>6 months) at 66 study centres (mainly hospitals and university clinics, with a small number of private practices) in 11 European countries. Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) by an interactive web response system to 26 weeks of monotherapy with fixed-dose oral cariprazine (3) mg, 4.5 mg [target dose], or 6 mg per day) or risperidone (3 mg, 4 mg [target dose], or 6 mg per day); previous medication was discontinued over 2 weeks. The primary outcome was change from baseline to week 26 or end of treatment on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale factor score for negative symptoms (PANSS-FSNS) analysed in a modified intention-to-treat population of patients who had follow-up assessments within 5 days after last receipt of study drugs with a mixed-effects model for repeated measures. Safety was assessed in all patients who received at least one dose of study drug. This study is registered with EudraCT, number 2012-005485-36. FINDINGS: Between May 27, 2013, and Nov 17, 2014, 533 patients were screened and 461 (86%) patients were randomised to treatment (230 for cariprazine and 231 for risperidone); 460 were included in the safety population (one patient discontinued before study drug intake). 227 (99%) of 230 patients in the cariprazine group and 229 (99%) of 230 patients in the risperidone group were included in the modified intention-to-treat population (178 [77%] in each group completed 26 weeks of treatment). Mean daily doses were 4.2 mg (SD 0.6) for cariprazine and 3.8 mg (0.4) for risperidone. Treatment-emergent adverse events (eg, insomnia, akathisia, worsening of schizophrenia, headache, anxiety) were reported in 123 (54%) patients treated with cariprazine and 131 (57%) patients treated with risperidone. Use of cariprazine led to a greater least squares mean change in PANSS-FSNS from baseline to week 26 than did risperidone (-8.90 points for cariprazine vs -7.44 points for risperidone; least squares mean difference -1.46, 95% CI -2.39 to -0.53; p=0.0022; effect size 0.31). One patient in the risperidone group died of a cause regarded as unrelated to treatment. INTERPRETATION: Our results support the efficacy of cariprazine in the treatment of predominant negative symptoms of schizophrenia. FUNDING: Gedeon Richter Plc.

Appendix B. ITS Ratings and Definitions

The *Is There a There There Scale* (ITS) consists of 3 ratings: *no, maybe*, and *yes*. The definitions of these ratings and methods for selection are described below. Center for Evidence-based Policy (Center) researchers use these definitions to rate each surveillance topic. The assigned rating is offered as guidance and does not require DERP participants to follow this recommendation. Each rating is strictly based on the identified new research and clinical information and is not comprehensive to all aspects of policy decision making, such as competing priorities, budget, contracting, or internal and external state agency needs.

No

- We did not find clinical evidence or information that would indicate a need to update the report or develop a derivative research product.
- A rating of No is typically given when there are few new studies and/or no new meaningful studies, and no new serious harms.

Maybe

- We found some clinical evidence or information that might suggest a need to update the report or develop a derivative research product.
- A rating of Maybe is typically given when there are multiple new comparative trials or at least 1 new meaningful study or serious harm.

Yes

- We found clinical evidence or information that suggests a need to update the report or develop a derivative research product.
- A rating of Yes is typically given when there are multiple new comparative trials and meaningful studies and/or new serious harms, drugs, formulations, or indications.