# Advisory Committee on Primary Care meeting





## Advisory Committee on Primary Care Meeting Materials

January 31, 2023 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

(Zoom Attendance Only)

### **Meeting materials**

Meeting agenda	. 1
Approval of November meeting minutes	2
Presentation on primary care providers and facilities	.3

# Tab 1



### **Advisory Committee on Primary Care**

January 31, 2023 2:00 p.m. – 4 p.m. Zoom Meeting

#### **AGENDA**

Committee Members:							
	Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair						
	Kristal Albrecht		Gregory Marchand		Jonathan Staloff		
	Sharon Brown		Chandra Hicks		Sarah Stokes		
	Tony Butruille		Meg Jones		Linda Van Hoff		
	Michele Causley		Sheryll Morelli		Shawn West		
	Nancy Connolly		Lan H. Nguyen		Staici West		
	Tracy Corgiat		Kevin Phelan		Ginny Weir		
	David DiGiuseppe		Eileen Ravella		Maddy Wiley		
	DC Dugdale		Katina Rue				
	Sharon Eloranta		Mandy Stahre				

Time	Agenda Items	Tab	Lead
2:00-2:10	Welcome, roll call, and agenda review	1	Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair, Medical Director
(10 min)		1	Washington State Health Care Authority
2:10-2:15	Approval of November meeting	2	Jean Marie Dreyer, Committee Facilitator
(5 min)	summary	2	Health Care Authority
2:15-2:30	Public comment		Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair, Medical Director
(15 min)			Washington State Health Care Authority
2:30-2:45	Presentation on primary care providers	2	Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair, Medical Director
(15 min)	and facilities	3	Washington State Health Care Authority
2:45-3:55	Discussion of primary care providers		Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair, Medical Director
(70 min)	and facilities		Washington State Health Care Authority
3:55-4:00	Wrap-up and adjournment		Jean Marie Dreyer, Committee Facilitator
(5 min)			Health Care Authority

Subject to Section 5 of the Laws of 2022, Chapter 115, also known as HB 1329, the Board has agreed this meeting will be held via Zoom without a physical location.

# Tab 2



### Advisory Committee on Primary Care Meeting Summary

November 21, 2022 Health Care Authority Meeting held electronically (Zoom) and telephonically 9:30 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

**Note:** this meeting was video recorded in its entirety. The recording and all materials provided to and considered by the committee is available on the Advisory Committee on Primary Care webpage.

### Members present

Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair Chandra Hicks David DiGiuseppe D.C. Dugdale Ginny Weir **Gregory Marchand Jonathan Staloff** Kevin Phelan Kristal Albrecht Linda Van Hoff Madeline Wiley Mandy Stahre

Meg Jones

Michele Causley

**Nancy Connolly** 

Sarah Stokes

Sharon Brown

Sharon Eloranta

Shawn West

Tony Butruille

Tracy Corgiat

### Members absent

Lan H. Nguven Katina Rue Shervl Morelli Staici West

### Call to order

Jean Marie Dreyer, Committee Manager, called the meeting to order.



### Agenda items

Welcome, roll call, and agenda review

Jean Marie provided an overview of the agenda.

### Approval of October meeting summary

The committee members present voted to adopt the Meeting Summary from the October 2022 meeting.

#### **Topics for Today**

The topics were listed as discussion of drafted primary care definition and presentation on claims-based measurement.

#### Primary Care Definition Discussion

Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Health Care Authority

Judy Zerzan-Thul presented an updated version of the committee's recommended definition of primary care which included feedback emailed by committee members. The definition is intended to serve as a high-level, aspirational conception of Washington's definition of primary care. The definition is not necessarily connected to existing RCWs and does not contain taxonomy codes. As primary care spending is operationalized, codes will be further discussed and examined.

D.C. Dugdale agreed that the definition works from an aspirational perspective, but noted that from an operational perspective, there are many minefields.

Sharon Eloranta posited that the word "accountable" implies measurement. Judy Zerzan-Thul clarified that "accountable" refers to quality measurement, and possibly cost, but primarily refers to someone taking care of an individual patient and to accountability for the patient's health. The Learning Action Network (LAN) has a good discussion at the national level about accountable care, with perspectives from clinician and systems levels. The updated definition is an amalgam of the National Academy of Sciences of Engineering and Medicine (NASEM) and the Bree Collaborative's definitions.

Tracy Corgiat shared an experience from a recent event about patient access with two takeaways. First, the traditional conception of one person quarterbacking care with an accountable care clinician is changing. There are scheduling limits right now with seeing one provider. The second takeaway was about health maintenance. Judy Zerzan-Thul noted that primary care would be a team-based sport moving forward.

Nancy Connolly expressed a preference for a doctor to serve as a team leader, rather than an osteopath or naturopath. Judy Zerzan-Thul clarified that this committee's definition would not limit team-based leadership to doctors. Advanced nurses can perform this role, too. Nancy Connolly agreed that a team could include a nurse but would be surprised to have a team without a physician. Judy Zerzan-Thul responded that there are teams led by Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioners (ARNPs) without doctors and this committee would not exclude them. Tracy Corgiat noted that team-based leadership differs in different places. There are many places trying to recruit doctors in rural areas that don't have them.

Sharon Eloranta asked what is meant by provider, noting that a practice, rather than a person, could be held accountable for metrics. Judy Zerzan-Thul clarified that defining a provider is a separate issue handled by Medicaid.

Jonathan Staloff asked about the difference between what the definition says, versus what it aspires to be. David DiGiuseppe noted that the definition appears to be complementary with the multi-payer primary care transformation (PCTM) work. Judy Zerzan-Thul noted that the work on the PCTM is separate from, but complementary with, the primary care measurement work of this committee. It is okay for the definitions used in the two workstreams to differ.



Tony Butruille suggested switching out the word "first" for "primary." Ginny Weir suggested that "primary" might be more realistic than first.

Chandra Hicks asked whether care that didn't meet the criteria of this definition would be considered primary care. Judy Zerzan-Thul responded that to be considered primary care, the criteria in this definition must be met. Chandra Hicks asked whether a provider must meet this definition to get paid. Judy Zerzan-Thul clarified that a general practitioner operating without a team wouldn't be excluded. The definition is moving towards a more equitable vision and is a general framework towards which to move.

Chandra Hicks asked if the committee should create a separate working definition that is purely aspirational. Judy Zerzan-Thul affirmed that the current definition is sufficient. The committee's next task will involve selecting how to code providers and services.

Tracy Corgiat asserted that the committee should be clear that this isn't a legislative definition.

Nancy Connolly explained that there are times when a patient is seen for urgent care at one clinic but is empaneled at another – this would mean that even though the provider is a primary care provider, the care provided isn't primary because it isn't continuous. Judy Zerzan-Thul clarified that the who, what, and where all have to intersect for the definition to apply. Urgent care might not be a qualified place.

Nancy Connolly made a motion to the accept the definition. D.C. Dugdale seconded. The entire committee approved the definition.

#### **Public Comment**

No public comment.

#### Presentation on Claims-Based Measurement

Dr. Josh Liao and Dr. Ashok Reddy, University of Washington School of Medicine

Dr. Josh Liao and Dr. Ashok Reddy gave a presentation on their work operationalizing a claims-based definition of primary care for Washington State based on Medicaid claims. The presentation detailed the origins of the definition of claims-based primary care, provided an outline of the data used in their study, explained their process for refining provider, service, and facility codes, and gave a summary of the study's findings and caveats. The study found 49 potential billing providers to service provider combinations.

Out of a sample of over 300,000 Medicaid beneficiaries, over the age of 18, who were residents of Washington, in managed care, and enrolled for over 11 months in 2019 and 2020, the study found: one-third of adult beneficiaries in the sample did not receive any outpatient medical services; there were twice as many primary care versus non-primary care outpatient medical services; most primary care outpatient medical services (almost 70 percent) were provided through locations or sites associated with Federally Qualified Health Centers and multispecialty groups; and, in 2019 and 2020, over 5,000 clinicians provided primary care outpatient medical services to beneficiaries in the study's sample.

There is a need for and a challenge to operationalize a claims-based definition of primary care for use in policy and practice change. A stepwise approach that builds on prior work can help address misclassification. The approach used in this study can be expanded in future work to include, validate, and apply procedures to different claims datasets.

D.C. Dugdale asked whether common wellness visits were included. Josh Liao clarified that wellness visits were not included.

Nancy Connolly asked about efforts to address value-based purchasing (VBP) in the study. Josh Liao clarified that VBP was beyond the scope of the study.

David DiGiuseppe asked how to account for services that aren't primary care but are offered at a designated primary care clinic. Josh Liao noted that a claims-based definition would never capture the essence of primary care. There is primary care happening at non-primary care locations.



Krystal Albrecht asked whether there were codes missing from the study. Josh Liao noted that in future work, there will be more visit types added. Other variables like place of service create data accuracy issues. Ashok Reddy said additional taxonomies would be helpful.

Nancy Connolly asked how to approach and capture the patient-provider team relationship element of primary care, noting that if there isn't an intent for a relationship, it isn't primary care. Josh Liao noted a lack of an answer to Nancy's question. In the absence of reaching a new definition, the alternative isn't nothing. There are attribution challenges – there can be a relationship with a team, or a medical group. Existing definitions don't account for nuance.

Tracy Corgiat asked whether there are people consuming care that isn't considered primary care and how to better parse out service lines.

Nancy Connolly added that there are people who choose a "doc in a box" with episodic care. There should be a system where patients are empaneled with same-day access.

Josh Liao noted that the study's methodology isn't just for scaling, it's also for inputting data into the system. Judy Zerzan-Thul added that other states created narrow and broad categories of primary care definitions to address the uncertainty of the type of relationships between providers and patients.

David DiGiuseppe posited that there could be an individual assigned to a Managed Care Organization (MCO) where the patient doesn't know they've been assigned and isn't necessarily seeking out care. There is intent for a relationship, but no current need. This could be an example of non-claims-based care.

Josh Liao noted that claims-based payments are for tracking, trending, and measuring. David DiGiuseppe and Nancy Connolly's comments highlight that if the system changes based on a patient's election to receive care, the existing claims methodology would live within that new system. There are ways outside of claims to gauge relationships.

Jonathan Staloff noted that a large chunk of care might be telemedicine. There should be some discussion about whether in-person is required.

Tony Butruille noted that in prior work on taxonomy codes with the Office of Financial Management (OFM), there was a desire to find site codes where primary care is performed and to allow more non-claims-based payments to funnel through those site codes, e.g., telemedicine, pharmacist, health coach, nutritionist. How difficult would it be to use the aspiration to work backwards from site codes to pay them via per member per month (PMPM)? Ashok Reddy noted that ambulatory care could be added. The OFM report was narrowly focused on certain clinics. Josh Liao caveated that billing location doesn't always equal treatment location. There will need to be a new code or a new system eventually. Currently, Fee-for-service (FFS) provides a system for tracking.

Nancy Connolly noted that there are many services FFS doesn't pay for. To promote team-based care delivery, it needs to be paid appropriately.

Josh Liao concluded that what gets paid for and how it is paid will necessitate a new system of payment and delivery. There needs to be a reimagination of the current system to pay for a team.

#### Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

### **Next meeting**

February 15, 2022 Meeting to be held on Zoom 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.



# Public comment



# Tab 3

# Claims-based payments: discussion of primary care providers and facilities

Dr. Judy Zerzan-Thul, Chair, Advisory Committee on Primary Care



### **Ground rules**

- Final selected categories will need to account for the intersection of **the who**, **the where**, and **the what**
- These are "working" definitions, which, once adopted, will be updated infrequently
- Not changing statutory categories already in place
  - E.g., naturopath in narrow
- Measurement work is separate from, but related to, Primary Care Transformation Model (PCTM)
- Further analysis may rely on claim counts for individual providers and services to determine an approximate ratio of primary care provided



# Primary care providers: narrow

- Family medicine
- Family medicine, adolescent medicine
- Family medicine, adult medicine
- Family medicine, geriatric medicine
- General practice
- Internal medicine
- Internal medicine, geriatric medicine
- Naturopath
- Pediatrics
- Pediatrics, adolescent medicine
- Preventive medicine, preventive/occupational environmental medicine



# Primary care providers: broad

- Advanced Practice Midwife
- Registered nurse
- Counselors
  - Addiction (SUD)
  - Mental health
  - Etc.
- Family Medicine
  - Addiction medicine
  - Bariatric medicine
  - Hospice and palliative care
  - Etc.
- Homeopath
- Internal medicine
  - Addiction
  - Obesity

- Midwife
- Marriage and family therapist
- Psychologist
  - Addiction (SUD)
  - Clinical
  - Adult development and aging
  - Etc.
- Social Worker
  - Clinical
  - School



# Providers: physician assistants (PAs) and nurse practitioners (NPs)

- NP
- NP, acute care
- NP, community health
- NP, family
- NP, gerontology
- NP, pediatrics

- NP, primary care
- NP, psychiatric/mental health
- NP, women's health
- PA
- PA, medical



## **Facilities**

- Primary care clinic (including on-site at hospitals)
- Multi-specialty clinic/center
- Rural health clinic (RHC)
- Federally qualified health center (FQHC)
- Ambulatory health clinic/center
- Community health clinic/center
- Critical access hospital (CAH)
- School-based health center
- Indian health service facility
- Long-term care facilities



### SB 5589: behavioral health

- Sec. 1 (2)(f)(i) "Supporting advanced, integrated primary care involving a multidisciplinary team of health and social service professionals; addressing social determinants of health within the primary care setting; leveraging innovative uses of efficient, interoperable health information technology; increasing the primary care and behavioral health workforce; and reinforcing to patients the value of primary care, and eliminating any barriers to access."
- Sec. 1 (4) "In developing the measures and reporting, the board shall consult with primary care providers and organizations representing primary care providers and review existing work in this and other states regarding primary care, including but not limited to... **the work of the advancing integrated mental health center**."



### Feedback

- For narrow providers list:
  - Add internal medicine pediatrics.
  - Add OBGYN.
  - Add RN.
  - Add homeopath.
  - Add Advanced Practice Midwife and Midwife.
- For broad providers list:
  - Remove providers who are not "accountable."
  - Majority of providers are behavioral health and shouldn't be included in primary care analysis.
  - Behavioral health providers are specialty, not primary care.
  - Add perinatal health and associated providers.
  - Remove homeopath not evidence-based.
  - Is advanced practice midwife a certified midwife?



### Feedback: continued

### For NPs/PAs list:

- Remove psychiatric/mental health.
- Add psychiatric/mental health to broad.
- Add all categories to narrow.
- ▶ If NP acute care is hospital care, remove.
- ► For NP, PA, and PA, medical, only include if provider practiced at a primary care facility.

### Facilities:

- Do critical access hospitals (CAHs) provide primary care? If not, remove.
- Does primary care clinic encompass Hospital Outpatient Departments (HOPDs) that house providers in both narrow and broad?
- Where are urgent care clinics?
- What about virtual care?
- Are there other providers and facilities missing from the current lists, or others to remove?



### Questions for discussion

- What are the pros and cons of the alternative broad measurements that could be produced?
  - ► All broad. What could it be used for?
  - No broad.
  - Some broad. If some, which ones?
- Do the lists reflect our recommended definition of primary care?
  - Accountable
  - Continuous
  - Coordinated
  - Equitable
- Is everything captured in the All-Payer Claims Database?



## Next steps

- Presentation to providers and carriers/data committees on February 7
  - PCTM update
  - Present final recommended definition of primary care
  - Update on claims-based discussion
- Presentation on primary care at HCCTB February 15 meeting
  - PCTM update
  - Present final recommended definition of primary care
- Emailing draft list of primary care services before February 23 meeting
- February 23 meeting:
  - Continue discussion of providers and facilities
  - Begin discussion of primary care services codes



# Thank you for attending the Advisory Committee on Primary Care meeting!

