



WISe Dashboard

Wraparound with Intensive Services

QUARTERLY UPDATE

SFY 2015 Q1 – SFY 2016 Q1

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

APRIL 2016

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services
Research and Data Analysis Division
Olympia, Washington

CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov
Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov
David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov

Contents

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, July 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015

- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
- Behavioral Health History
- Cross-System Involvement History
- Functional Proxy Indicators

WISe Service Characteristics, July 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target, by RSN and County, Data Available as of April 2016

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015

Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRs		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL		
Gender												
Female	658	41.1%	102	45.7%	72	40.0%	484	40.4%	443	38.7%	12,102	48.2%
Male	942	58.9%	121	54.3%	108	60.0%	713	59.6%	701	61.3%	12,988	51.8%
Age Group												
0-4	14	0.9%	3	1.3%	3	1.7%	8	0.7%	8	0.7%	807	3.2%
5-11	478	29.9%	58	26.0%	63	35.0%	357	29.8%	387	33.8%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	1,040	65.0%	151	67.7%	108	60.0%	781	65.2%	713	62.3%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	68	4.3%	11	4.9%	6	3.3%	51	4.3%	36	3.1%	5,739	22.9%
Race/Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic White	724	45.3%	90	40.4%	71	39.4%	563	47.0%	530	46.3%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	876	54.8%	133	59.6%	109	60.6%	634	53.0%	614	53.7%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	368	23.0%	65	29.1%	34	18.9%	269	22.5%	236	20.6%	5,195	20.7%
Black	232	14.5%	22	9.9%	42	23.3%	168	14.0%	160	14.0%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	246	15.4%	42	18.8%	32	17.8%	172	14.4%	172	15.0%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	105	6.6%	19	8.5%	8	4.4%	78	6.5%	83	7.3%	1,620	6.5%
Region												
Great Rivers BHO	26	1.6%	*	*	*	*	21	1.8%	10	3.6%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia BHO	489	30.6%	117	52.5%	40	22.2%	332	27.7%	249	21.2%	3,358	13.4%
King County BHO	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	1.1%	4,525	18.0%
North Central BHO	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.1%	949	3.8%
North Sound BHO	324	20.3%	28	12.6%	29	16.1%	267	22.3%	212	17.9%	3,287	13.1%
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	291	18.2%	17	7.6%	50	27.8%	224	18.7%	224	19.6%	3,157	12.6%
Salish BHO	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.2%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest FIMC	183	11.4%	14	6.3%	39	21.7%	130	10.9%	158	11.5%	1,944	7.7%
Spokane CR BHO	*	*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	25	2.6%	3,478	13.9%
Thurston Mason BHO	286	17.9%	43	19.3%	21	11.7%	222	18.5%	266	22.3%	1,240	4.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,600	100%	223	100%	180	100%	1,197	100%	1,144	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,603		223		180		1,200		1,155		25,090	

NOTES: Tables on pages 1 through 4 present characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services between 7/1/2014 and 9/30/2015 as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRs; (3) Outpatient. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month. Behavioral health history, cross-system involvement history, and functional proxy indicators (pages 2, 3, and 4, respectively) based on 12-month period prior to index month for youth screened for and served by WISe in the date range; based on 12 months in SFY 2015 for youth in WISe proxy. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals have been suppressed at the regional level to protect confidentiality.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015

Behavioral Health History

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags												
No known behavioral health treatment need	110	6.9%	31	13.9%	7	3.9%	72	6.0%	55	4.8%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	1,478	92.4%	187	83.9%	172	95.6%	1,119	93.5%	1,083	94.7%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	322	20.1%	51	22.9%	32	17.8%	239	20.0%	234	20.5%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	311	19.4%	46	20.6%	31	17.2%	234	19.5%	229	20.0%	6,800	27.1%
Mental Health Diagnosis History												
Psychotic disorder	210	13.1%	23	10.3%	20	11.1%	167	14.0%	169	14.8%	2,607	10.4%
Mania/bipolar disorder	372	23.3%	34	15.2%	50	27.8%	288	24.1%	311	27.2%	4,718	18.8%
Depression	667	41.7%	91	40.8%	55	30.6%	521	43.5%	499	43.6%	10,974	43.7%
Anxiety	784	49.0%	99	44.4%	99	55.0%	586	49.0%	600	52.4%	12,443	49.6%
ADHD/conduct/impulse	634	39.6%	59	26.5%	76	42.2%	499	41.7%	540	47.2%	5,236	20.9%
Adjustment disorder	222	13.9%	26	11.7%	34	18.9%	162	13.5%	169	14.8%	2,424	9.7%
Mental Health Prescription History												
Antipsychotic	401	25.1%	46	20.6%	45	25.0%	310	25.9%	319	27.9%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	43	2.7%	2	0.9%	5	2.8%	36	3.0%	30	2.6%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	663	41.4%	82	36.8%	68	37.8%	513	42.9%	502	43.9%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	261	16.3%	31	13.9%	33	18.3%	197	16.5%	200	17.5%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	583	36.4%	54	24.2%	75	41.7%	454	37.9%	446	39.0%	6,299	25.1%
Mental Health Service History												
MH inpatient	189	11.8%	17	7.6%	11	6.1%	161	13.5%	166	14.5%	1,905	7.6%
MH outpatient - DBHR	1,304	81.5%	158	70.9%	140	77.8%	1,006	84.0%	990	86.5%	17,173	68.4%
MH outpatient - HCA	436	27.3%	55	24.7%	37	20.6%	344	28.7%	346	30.2%	4,087	16.3%
MH outpatient - Tribal	147	9.2%	27	12.1%	14	7.8%	106	8.9%	90	7.9%	1,363	5.4%
CA Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	198	12.4%	19	8.5%	126	70.0%	53	4.4%	39	3.4%	972	3.9%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Service History												
SUD inpatient	33	2.1%	8	3.6%	4	2.2%	21	1.8%	25	2.2%	1,115	4.4%
SUD outpatient	129	8.1%	22	9.9%	20	11.1%	87	7.3%	93	8.1%	3,040	12.1%
SUD detoxification services	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	391	1.6%
SUD other (case mgmt, assessmt, op sub, other)	175	10.9%	25	11.2%	23	12.8%	127	10.6%	128	11.2%	3,983	15.9%
Any SUD services	191	11.9%	31	13.9%	24	13.3%	136	11.4%	137	12.0%	4,595	18.3%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,600	100%	223	100%	180	100%	1,197	100%	1,144	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,603		224		180		1,199		1,155		25,090	

NOTES: See information on page 1.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015

Social & Health Service History

	SCREENED for WISe							SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)		
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES			
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Children's Administration (CA) History												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	198	12.4%	19	8.5%	126	70.0%	53	4.4%	39	3.4%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	423	26.4%	49	22.0%	172	95.6%	202	16.9%	171	14.9%	3,873	15.4%
Any CA Services	1,078	67.4%	122	54.7%	177	98.3%	779	65.1%	742	64.9%	10,300	41.1%
Juvenile Justice History												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JRA)	45	2.8%	9	4.0%	8	4.4%	28	2.3%	26	2.3%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	241	15.1%	19	8.5%	35	19.4%	187	15.6%	174	15.2%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions	303	18.9%	38	17.0%	39	21.7%	226	18.9%	207	18.1%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JRA, arrests, convictions)	383	23.9%	45	20.2%	52	28.9%	286	23.9%	264	23.1%	6,903	27.5%
Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History												
Any DDA services	80	5.0%	11	4.9%	21	11.7%	48	4.0%	51	4.5%	1,112	4.4%
Economic Services Administration (ESA) History												
Basic Food	946	59.1%	132	59.2%	48	26.7%	766	64.0%	744	65.0%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	272	17.0%	37	16.6%	17	9.4%	218	18.2%	216	18.9%	4,465	17.8%
Health Care Authority (HCA) History												
Any ER visits	804	50.3%	114	51.1%	76	42.2%	614	51.3%	604	52.8%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	399	24.9%	51	22.9%	32	17.8%	316	26.4%	323	28.2%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	133	8.3%	21	9.4%	7	3.9%	105	8.8%	93	8.1%	3,024	12.1%
Out-of-Home Placement History												
Out-of-home placement and psychiatric hospitalizations	583	36.4%	66	29.6%	172	95.6%	345	28.8%	326	28.5%	5,941	23.7%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,600	100%	223	100%	180	100%	1,197	100%	1,144	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,603		224		180		1,199		1,155		25,090	

NOTES: See information on page 1.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015

Functional Proxy Indicators

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRs		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL		
Mental Health Inpatient Stays												
DBHR-MH Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP) ¹	12	0.8%	1	0.4%	1	0.6%	10	0.8%	16	1.4%	56	0.2%
DBHR-MH State Mental Hospitals	20	1.3%	1	0.4%	2	1.1%	17	1.4%	18	1.6%	202	0.8%
DBHR-MH Community Inpatient	171	10.7%	17	7.6%	8	4.4%	146	12.2%	146	12.8%	1,757	7.0%
Children's Administration Encounters												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	198	12.4%	19	8.5%	126	70.0%	53	4.4%	39	3.4%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	91	5.7%	6	2.7%	26	14.4%	59	4.9%	58	5.1%	257	1.0%
In CA placement in year with >3 lifetime CA out-of-home placements	278	17.4%	34	15.2%	133	73.9%	111	9.3%	87	7.6%	2,910	11.6%
Other Risk Indicators												
JRA services	45	2.8%	9	4.0%	8	4.4%	28	2.3%	26	2.3%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted	303	18.9%	38	17.0%	39	21.7%	226	18.9%	207	18.1%	5,534	22.1%
RSN crisis encounter	428	26.8%	58	26.0%	26	14.4%	344	28.7%	358	31.3%	6,323	25.2%
Homelessness	14	0.9%	1	0.4%	2	1.1%	11	0.9%	13	1.1%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	199	12.4%	22	9.9%	31	17.2%	146	12.2%	163	14.2%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI diagnosis on claim	59	3.7%	5	2.2%	4	2.2%	50	4.2%	62	5.4%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI diagnosis on claim	145	9.1%	13	5.8%	16	8.9%	116	9.7%	129	11.3%	2,296	9.2%
DBHR-MH utilization in year at or above the 90th percentile	832	52.0%	112	50.2%	109	60.6%	611	51.0%	627	54.8%	5,114	20.4%
<i>Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter . . .</i>												
Drug overdose	71	4.4%	11	4.9%	2	1.1%	58	4.8%	52	4.5%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	89	5.6%	13	5.8%	7	3.9%	69	5.8%	60	5.2%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	31	1.9%	2	0.9%	3	1.7%	26	2.2%	21	1.8%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	73	4.6%	15	6.7%	7	3.9%	51	4.3%	51	4.5%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	11	0.7%	2	0.9%	1	0.6%	8	0.7%	12	1.0%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need flag	322	20.1%	51	22.9%	32	17.8%	239	20.0%	234	20.5%	6,800	27.1%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,600	100%	223	100%	180	100%	1,197	100%	1,144	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,603		224		180		1,199		1,155		25,090	

NOTES: See information on page 1.

1. An anomaly occurred in CLIP data in SFY 2015, yielding an undercount of youth in CLIP in the proxy.

WISe Service Characteristics

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015

	WASHINGTON		BY REGION ▶															
	ALL WISe SERVICES		Great Rivers BHO		Greater Columbia BHO		North Sound BHO		OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Southwest FIMC		Spokane CR BHO		Thurston Mason BHO			
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
Program Totals																		
WISe clients (unduplicated)	1,155		31		251		212		231		138		26		266			
Service encounters	96,068		1,838		16,000		19,015		22,145		12,319		884		23,867			
Service hours	95,111		1,531		11,493		20,139		28,123		14,591		1,149		18,086			
Service months	6,716		131		1,426		1,298		1,362		718		67		1,714			
Service Provider Type	HOURS																	
Below Masters level	41,838	44.0%	653	42.7%	5,667	49.3%	9,046	44.9%	15,617	55.5%	6,528	44.7%	269	23.4%	4,059	22.4%		
MA/PhD	35,382	37.2%	648	42.3%	4,397	38.3%	7,511	37.3%	8,156	29.0%	5,184	35.5%	483	42.1%	9,002	49.8%		
Peer counselor	15,649	16.5%	177	11.6%	1,085	9.4%	3,309	16.4%	3,882	13.8%	2,409	16.5%	84	7.3%	4,704	26.0%		
Psychiatrist/MD	1,359	1.4%	28	1.8%	275	2.4%	263	1.3%	372	1.3%	162	1.1%	41	3.6%	218	1.2%		
Other	842	0.9%	24	1.6%	70	0.6%	9	0.0%	60	0.2%	309	2.1%	268	23.3%	102	0.6%		
Designated MH professional	40	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36	0.1%	0	0.0%	4	0.4%	0	0.0%		
Service Location	HOURS																	
Home	37,158	39.1%	627	40.9%	0	0.0%	6,303	31.3%	16,011	56.9%	5,358	36.7%	345	30.0%	8,514	47.1%		
MH outpatient facility	26,627	28.0%	481	31.4%	11,487	100.0%	5,288	26.3%	3,291	11.7%	1,990	13.6%	510	44.4%	3,580	19.8%		
Other	25,938	27.3%	240	15.7%	3	0.0%	7,644	38.0%	6,933	24.7%	6,149	42.1%	136	11.8%	4,833	26.7%		
School	3,920	4.1%	76	4.9%	0	0.0%	533	2.6%	1,434	5.1%	882	6.0%	105	9.2%	890	4.9%		
Emergency department - hospital	951	1.0%	75	4.9%	2	0.0%	268	1.3%	343	1.2%	158	1.1%	40	3.5%	65	0.4%		
Correctional facility	261	0.3%	25	1.6%	0	0.0%	53	0.3%	1	0.0%	32	0.2%	4	0.3%	147	0.8%		
Residential care setting	256	0.3%	9	0.6%	0	0.0%	49	0.2%	110	0.4%	22	0.1%	9	0.8%	57	0.3%		
Treatment Modality	HOURS																	
Individual treatment services	33,215	34.9%	649	42.4%	5,144	44.8%	4,596	22.8%	11,364	40.4%	7,754	53.1%	437	38.0%	3,270	18.1%		
Peer support	15,801	16.6%	155	10.1%	765	6.7%	2,021	10.0%	7,086	25.2%	1,964	13.5%	73	6.4%	3,737	20.7%		
Child and family team meeting	14,634	15.4%	131	8.6%	2,111	18.4%	3,804	18.9%	3,399	12.1%	1,598	11.0%	48	4.1%	3,544	19.6%		
Family treatment	9,732	10.2%	155	10.1%	679	5.9%	1,497	7.4%	4,301	15.3%	1,053	7.2%	42	3.7%	2,004	11.1%		
Care coordination services	9,510	10.0%	87	5.7%	1,133	9.9%	4,873	24.2%	217	0.8%	483	3.3%	74	6.4%	2,644	14.6%		
Crisis services	2,911	3.1%	71	4.6%	742	6.5%	478	2.4%	952	3.4%	453	3.1%	30	2.6%	187	1.0%		
Group treatment services	2,754	2.9%	14	0.9%	89	0.8%	2,525	12.5%	0	0.0%	21	0.1%	85	7.4%	19	0.1%		
Other intensive services	1,959	2.1%	0	0.0%	68	0.6%	30	0.2%	5	0.0%	6	0.0%	321	28.0%	1,528	8.4%		
Intake evaluation	1,478	1.6%	50	3.2%	169	1.5%	11	0.1%	438	1.6%	496	3.4%	23	2.0%	291	1.6%		
Medication management	1,194	1.3%	35	2.3%	227	2.0%	239	1.2%	250	0.9%	332	2.3%	6	0.6%	106	0.6%		
Rehabilitation case mgt	1,036	1.1%	60	3.9%	249	2.2%	5	0.0%	90	0.3%	53	0.4%	6	0.5%	573	3.2%		
Involuntary Tx investigation	448	0.5%	76	5.0%	0	0.0%	20	0.1%	3	0.0%	271	1.9%	1	0.0%	77	0.4%		
Interpreter services	272	0.3%	35	2.3%	107	0.9%	40	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	89	0.5%		
Therapeutic psychoeducation	116	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	104	0.7%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%		
Psychological assessment	17	0.0%	14	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%		
Medication monitoring	17	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.0%		
Integrated SUD/MH screening	14	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Integrated SUD/MH assessment	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		

NOTES: WISe services include all mental health outpatient service encounters submitted to CIS with the WISe "U8" modifier and other WISe-approved outpatient services received in a month with at least one "U8" service. Service provider type, location, and treatment modality are based on the distribution of WISe service hours. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) boundaries. Youth served in more than one region during the report date range have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters in that date range. Service months for youth served in more than one region during a month have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the month. Service encounters and service hours are allocated to the region in which they occurred. The Greater Columbia BHO service location is displayed as reported to ProviderONE and may change in future reports if those transactions are updated. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Outcomes

July 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015


Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

	WASHINGTON		BY REGION ▶											
	ALL WISe SERVICES		Great Rivers BHO		Greater Columbia BHO		North Sound BHO		OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Southwest FIMC		Thurston Mason BHO	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2016 Q1 and 6-Month Follow-Up	270		*		69		55		68		45		28	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths														
Average # of actionable treatment needs	17	13	*	*	15	11	17	13	18	15	17	13	17	12
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	*	*	7	9	6	8	8	9	7	9	9	10
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Emotional control problems	82%	64%	*	*	92%	58%	82%	69%	79%	74%	85%	70%	71%	40%
Attention/impulse problems	73%	61%	*	*	74%	56%	72%	67%	79%	62%	68%	66%	69%	54%
Mood disturbance	70%	55%	*	*	79%	56%	74%	43%	61%	55%	85%	70%	49%	51%
Oppositional behavior	63%	48%	*	*	61%	49%	72%	54%	71%	54%	45%	40%	60%	37%
Anxiety	60%	56%	*	*	53%	42%	75%	64%	58%	51%	64%	70%	49%	60%
Needs: Risk Factors	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Decision-making problems	65%	53%	*	*	74%	42%	66%	59%	76%	65%	51%	49%	46%	51%
Danger to others	50%	28%	*	*	44%	22%	43%	31%	64%	37%	55%	32%	34%	14%
Intended misbehavior	33%	27%	*	*	31%	24%	48%	31%	28%	31%	30%	26%	29%	23%
Non-suicidal self-injury	25%	10%	*	*	17%	7%	18%	13%	28%	11%	40%	13%	23%	9%
Suicide risk	25%	11%	*	*	25%	7%	15%	8%	29%	17%	36%	15%	14%	6%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Family problems	86%	60%	*	*	88%	57%	82%	57%	87%	62%	91%	66%	80%	60%
Living situation problems	76%	46%	*	*	85%	38%	74%	57%	79%	53%	77%	38%	54%	31%
Interpersonal problems	70%	57%	*	*	71%	51%	79%	54%	66%	57%	74%	66%	57%	63%
School behavior problems	52%	35%	*	*	43%	25%	54%	38%	61%	41%	51%	36%	46%	34%
School achievement problems	51%	46%	*	*	53%	39%	49%	46%	55%	47%	62%	57%	34%	46%
Needs: Other Domains	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Family stress	78%	57%	*	*	74%	50%	70%	61%	92%	69%	85%	60%	63%	31%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	39%	24%	*	*	46%	24%	38%	28%	40%	32%	34%	13%	29%	14%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	36%	29%	*	*	29%	24%	51%	49%	27%	23%	45%	28%	31%	23%
Youth deficits in educational goals/progress	35%	33%	*	*	21%	21%	20%	14%	55%	64%	71%	64%	45%	18%
Caregiver deficits in independent living skills	35%	37%	*	*	19%	19%	32%	20%	52%	64%	64%	79%	27%	36%
Strengths	<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>													
Educational system strengths	56%	78%	*	*	51%	81%	64%	80%	48%	73%	60%	83%	60%	71%
Resiliency	37%	54%	*	*	39%	53%	21%	31%	51%	75%	28%	49%	40%	57%
Family	52%	65%	*	*	64%	78%	41%	48%	52%	63%	51%	60%	54%	80%
Recreation	45%	56%	*	*	53%	58%	33%	51%	51%	59%	32%	53%	51%	54%
Resourcefulness	48%	58%	*	*	57%	64%	21%	34%	59%	71%	49%	55%	46%	60%

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 7/01/2014 and 9/30/2015 and subsequently completed a 6-month reassessment as of 1/30/2016. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month timepoint have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. 5 records from CLIP consumers were omitted from this report. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each timepoint, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each BHO. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality. Please note that the Spokane region had 0 individuals who met sample criteria, and thus is not included in the table.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County

Data Available as of April 2016



	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
WASHINGTON	723	2,985	24%
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	*	12	25-49%
Benton	71	102	70%
Chelan	*	32	10-24%
Clallam	0	54	0%
Clark	94	195	48%
Columbia	*	2	50-74%
Cowlitz	25	83	30%
Douglas	*	18	<10%
Ferry	0	5	0%
Franklin	19	43	44%
Garfield	*	1	>90%
Grant	*	40	<10%
Grays Harbor	*	50	<10%
Island	*	25	10-24%
Jefferson	0	13	0%
King	0	527	0%
Kitsap	0	122	0%
Kittitas	19	19	100%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	0	58	0%
Lincoln	0	5	0%
Mason	26	33	79%
Okanogan	0	22	0%
Pacific	0	8	0%
Pend Oreille	0	7	0%
Pierce	121	345	35%
San Juan	0	3	0%
Skagit	32	69	46%
Skamania	*	6	25-49%
Snohomish	81	264	31%
Spokane	23	335	7%
Stevens	*	28	<10%
Thurston	120	109	110%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	17	40	43%
Whatcom	42	99	42%
Whitman	*	10	75-90%
Yakima	63	175	36%


NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of April 2016, based on the number of children residing in each county receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as February 2016 and as recent as March 2016. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts of less than ten have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region

Data Available as of April 2016



Progress to Target



NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of April 2016, based on the number of children residing in each region receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as February 2016 and as recent as March 2016. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts less than ten but greater than zero have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

Regions April 2016		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
ALL REGIONS		723	2,985	24%
Great Rivers BHO	Cowlitz	25	203	12%
	Grays Harbor			
	Lewis Pacific			
	Wahkiakum			
Greater Columbia BHO	Asotin	200	418	48%
	Benton			
	Columbia			
	Franklin			
	Garfield			
	Kittitas			
	Klickitat			
	Walla Walla			
	Whitman			
	Yakima			
King County BHO	King	0	527	0%
North Central BHO	Chelan	*	90	10-24%
	Douglas			
	Grant			
North Sound BHO	Island	154	460	33%
	San Juan			
	Skagit			
	Snohomish			
	Whatcom			
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	Pierce	121	345	35%
Salish BHO	Clallam	0	189	0%
	Jefferson			
	Kitsap			
Southwest FIMC	Clark Skamania	95	201	47%
Spokane CR BHO	Adams	24	410	6%
	Ferry			
	Lincoln			
	Okanogan			
	Pend Oreille			
	Spokane			
	Stevens			
	Thurston-Mason BHO			