



# WISe Dashboard

## Wraparound with Intensive Services

### ANNUAL UPDATE

SFY 2017

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

### FEBRUARY 2018

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services  
Research and Data Analysis Division  
Olympia, Washington

### CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, [bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov)  
Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, [barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov)  
David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, [david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov)

## Contents

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, July 2016 - June 2017



- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
- Behavioral Health and Services
- Social and Health Services
- Functional Proxy Indicators

WISe Service Characteristics, July 2016 - June 2017


Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target, by Region and County

# WISe At A Glance




### Total Average Monthly Service Encounters, SFY 2017




### Service Encounters by Location, SFY 2017

#### WISe PARTICIPANTS




#### DBHR OUTPATIENT




### Service Encounters by Type of Service, SFY 2017

#### WISe PARTICIPANTS



#### DBHR OUTPATIENT



NOTES: WISe participants N=2,719. DBHR outpatient N=62,084 (clients age 0-20 with any DBHR outpatient mental health services). WISe participants are a subset of DBHR outpatient. The above numbers present the average number of encounters per service month.

### WISe Implementation as of February 2018

Counties offering WISe shown in color



San Jan county is scheduled to begin WISe services in March 2018.

# WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 2016 to June 2017

## Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL		
<b>Gender</b>												
Female	1,142	41.4%	133	41.2%	77	39.3%	932	41.7%	1,188	40.3%	12,102	48.2%
Male	1,614	58.6%	190	58.8%	119	60.7%	1,305	58.3%	1,758	59.7%	12,988	51.8%
<b>Age Group</b>												
0-4	55	2.0%	14	4.3%	10	5.1%	31	1.4%	34	1.2%	807	3.2%
5-11	973	35.3%	126	39.0%	52	26.5%	795	35.5%	1,068	36.3%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	1,644	59.7%	171	52.9%	125	63.8%	1,348	60.3%	1,706	57.9%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	84	3.0%	12	3.7%	9	4.6%	63	2.8%	138	4.7%	5,739	22.9%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>												
Non-Hispanic White	1,286	46.7%	162	50.2%	72	36.7%	1,052	47.0%	1,380	46.8%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	1,470	53.3%	161	49.8%	124	63.3%	1,185	53.0%	1,566	53.2%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	630	22.9%	67	20.7%	37	18.9%	526	23.5%	686	23.3%	5,195	20.7%
Black	441	16.0%	41	12.7%	40	20.4%	360	16.1%	500	17.0%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	369	13.4%	41	12.7%	48	24.5%	280	12.5%	369	12.5%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	155	5.6%	10	3.1%	12	6.1%	133	5.9%	170	5.8%	1,620	6.5%
<b>Region</b>												
Great Rivers BHO	176	6.4%	30	9.3%	*	1.5%	143	6.4%	189	6.4%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia BHO	518	18.8%	51	15.8%	50	25.5%	417	18.6%	583	19.8%	3,358	13.4%
King County BHO	230	8.3%	15	4.6%	17	8.7%	198	8.9%	364	12.4%	4,525	18.0%
North Central BHO	65	2.4%	*	0.9%	*	1.0%	60	2.7%	66	2.2%	949	3.8%
North Sound BHO	314	11.4%	92	28.5%	*	0.5%	221	9.9%	413	14.0%	3,287	13.1%
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	399	14.5%	*	0.3%	49	25.0%	349	15.6%	408	13.8%	3,157	12.6%
Salish BHO	128	4.6%	39	12.1%	*	4.1%	81	3.6%	63	2.1%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest FIMC	270	9.8%	12	3.7%	27	13.8%	231	10.3%	243	8.2%	1,944	7.7%
Spokane CR BHO	323	11.7%	33	10.2%	15	7.7%	275	12.3%	275	9.3%	3,478	13.9%
Thurston Mason BHO	333	12.1%	47	14.6%	24	12.2%	262	11.7%	342	11.6%	1,240	4.9%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available</b>	<b>2,756</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,237</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,946</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>25,090</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>2,764</b>		<b>324</b>		<b>197</b>		<b>2,243</b>		<b>2,954</b>		<b>25,090</b>	

DATA SOURCE: List of youth screened and referral outcomes from BHAS data, list of youth receiving WISe and in WISe proxy from administrative data (based on accepted service encounters). Characteristics of all three populations from administrative data. Number of youth receiving WISe services may be underestimated due to data completeness issues.

NOTES: Table presents characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services in SFY 2017 as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient/Other. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month for proxy, and region of screening or service for screened and served populations. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. \*Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

# WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 2016 to June 2017

## Behavioral Health & Services, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
<b>Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags</b>												
No known behavioral health treatment need	245	8.9%	42	13.0%	16	8.2%	187	8.4%	106	3.6%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	2,490	90.3%	280	86.7%	179	91.3%	2,031	90.8%	2,830	96.1%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	480	17.4%	33	10.2%	43	21.9%	404	18.1%	590	20.0%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	461	16.7%	32	9.9%	43	21.9%	386	17.3%	581	19.7%	6,800	27.1%
<b>Mental Health Diagnosis History</b>												
Psychotic disorder	275	10.0%	25	7.7%	18	9.2%	232	10.4%	362	12.3%	2,625	10.5%
Mania/bipolar disorder	262	9.5%	24	7.4%	18	9.2%	220	9.8%	406	13.8%	4,673	18.6%
Depression	1,342	48.7%	130	40.2%	86	43.9%	1,126	50.3%	1,636	55.5%	11,537	46.0%
Anxiety	1,496	54.3%	158	48.9%	107	54.6%	1,231	55.0%	1,806	61.3%	12,232	48.8%
ADHD	1,155	41.9%	107	33.1%	91	46.4%	957	42.8%	1,392	47.3%	7,161	28.5%
Disruptive/impulse/conduct	982	35.6%	94	29.1%	66	33.7%	822	36.7%	1,288	43.7%	4,989	19.9%
Adjustment disorder	454	16.5%	60	18.6%	42	21.4%	352	15.7%	482	16.4%	3,016	12.0%
<b>Mental Health Prescription History</b>												
Antipsychotic	627	22.8%	67	20.7%	49	25.0%	511	22.8%	800	27.2%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	54	2.0%	3	0.9%	1	0.5%	50	2.2%	70	2.4%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	1,072	38.9%	109	33.7%	76	38.8%	887	39.7%	1,277	43.3%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	404	14.7%	49	15.2%	38	19.4%	317	14.2%	476	16.2%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	954	34.6%	90	27.9%	74	37.8%	790	35.3%	1,149	39.0%	6,299	25.1%
If any above Rx, mental health treatment received <sup>1</sup>	1,597	96.1%	168	96.6%	119	89.5%	1,310	96.8%	1,944	98.5%	12,796	85.4%
<b>Mental Health Service History</b>												
MH inpatient	346	12.6%	28	8.7%	23	11.7%	295	13.2%	483	16.4%	1,909	7.6%
MH outpatient - DBHR	2,082	75.5%	239	74.0%	140	71.4%	1,703	76.1%	2,618	88.9%	17,173	68.4%
MH outpatient - HCA/Tribal	1,000	36.3%	95	29.4%	81	41.3%	824	36.8%	1,117	37.9%	6,077	24.2%
Any SUD services	308	11.2%	30	9.3%	27	13.8%	251	11.2%	382	13.0%	4,595	18.3%
CA Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	224	8.1%	17	5.3%	130	66.3%	77	3.4%	85	2.9%	972	3.9%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available</b>	<b>2,756</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,237</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,946</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>25,090</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>2,764</b>		<b>324</b>		<b>197</b>		<b>2,243</b>		<b>2,954</b>		<b>25,090</b>	

NOTES: Table presents data on behavioral health and services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 2 for additional details. <sup>1</sup>Includes outpatient mental health treatment from DBHR, HCA, or Tribal Authorities.

# WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 2016 to June 2017

## Social & Health Services, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe							SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)		
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES			
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
<b>Children's Administration (CA) History</b>												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	224	8.1%	17	5.3%	130	66.3%	77	3.4%	85	2.9%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	485	17.6%	54	16.7%	171	87.2%	260	11.6%	320	10.9%	3,873	15.4%
Any CA Services	1,550	56.2%	169	52.3%	187	95.4%	1,194	53.4%	1,666	56.6%	10,300	41.1%
<b>Juvenile Justice History</b>												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JR)	70	2.5%	3	0.9%	9	4.6%	58	2.6%	57	1.9%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	245	8.9%	16	5.0%	20	10.2%	209	9.3%	291	9.9%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions	223	8.1%	11	3.4%	27	13.8%	185	8.3%	269	9.1%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JR, arrests, convictions)	358	13.0%	19	5.9%	42	21.4%	297	13.3%	419	14.2%	6,903	27.5%
<b>Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History</b>												
Any DDA services	139	5.0%	17	5.3%	29	14.8%	93	4.2%	162	5.5%	1,112	4.4%
<b>Economic Services Administration (ESA) History</b>												
Basic Food	1,574	57.1%	185	57.3%	49	25.0%	1,340	59.9%	1,813	61.5%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	492	17.9%	64	19.8%	29	14.8%	399	17.8%	573	19.5%	4,465	17.8%
<b>Health Care Authority (HCA) History</b>												
Any ER visits	1,446	52.5%	144	44.6%	88	44.9%	1,214	54.3%	1,629	55.3%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	816	29.6%	72	22.3%	42	21.4%	702	31.4%	1,027	34.9%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	110	4.0%	7	2.2%	8	4.1%	95	4.2%	140	4.8%	3,024	12.1%
<b>Out-of-Home Placement History</b>												
Out-of-home placement and psychiatric hospitalizations	787	28.6%	79	24.5%	183	93.4%	525	23.5%	760	25.8%	5,941	23.7%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available</b>	<b>2,756</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,237</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,946</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>25,090</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>2,764</b>		<b>324</b>		<b>197</b>		<b>2,243</b>		<b>2,954</b>		<b>25,090</b>	

NOTES: Table presents data on social and health services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 2 for additional details.

# WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 2016 to June 2017

## Functional Proxy Indicators, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe							SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)		
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRs		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
<b>Mental Health Inpatient Stays</b>												
DBHR-MH Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP)	77	2.8%	2	0.6%	13	6.6%	62	2.8%	93	3.2%	173	0.7%
DBHR-MH State Mental Hospitals	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	113	0.5%
DBHR-MH Community Inpatient	314	11.4%	28	8.7%	17	8.7%	269	12.0%	441	15.0%	1,757	7.0%
<b>Children's Administration Encounters</b>												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	224	8.1%	17	5.3%	130	66.3%	77	3.4%	85	2.9%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	31	1.1%	2	0.6%	22	11.2%	7	0.3%	6	0.2%	257	1.0%
In CA placement in year with >3 lifetime CA out-of-home placements	302	11.0%	26	8.0%	132	67.3%	144	6.4%	166	5.6%	2,910	11.6%
<b>Other Risk Indicators</b>												
Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) services	70	2.5%	3	0.9%	9	4.6%	58	2.6%	57	1.9%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted	223	8.1%	11	3.4%	27	13.8%	185	8.3%	269	9.1%	5,534	22.1%
DBHR crisis encounter	723	26.2%	63	19.5%	49	25.0%	611	27.3%	1,045	35.5%	6,422	25.6%
Homelessness	41	1.5%	5	1.5%	1	0.5%	35	1.6%	39	1.3%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	306	11.1%	23	7.1%	28	14.3%	255	11.4%	395	13.4%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI diagnosis on claim	152	5.5%	10	3.1%	8	4.1%	134	6.0%	226	7.7%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI diagnosis on claim	363	13.2%	31	9.6%	17	8.7%	315	14.1%	492	16.7%	2,296	9.2%
DBHR-MH utilization in year at or above the 90th percentile	1,519	55.1%	173	53.6%	124	63.3%	1,222	54.6%	1,789	60.7%	5,114	20.4%
<i>Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter . . .</i>												
Drug overdose	33	1.2%	1	0.3%	5	2.6%	27	1.2%	47	1.6%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	76	2.8%	7	2.2%	8	4.1%	61	2.7%	99	3.4%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	49	1.8%	6	1.9%	2	1.0%	41	1.8%	61	2.1%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	259	9.4%	17	5.3%	20	10.2%	222	9.9%	313	10.6%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	56	2.0%	6	1.9%	6	3.1%	44	2.0%	63	2.1%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need flag	480	17.4%	33	10.2%	43	21.9%	404	18.1%	590	20.0%	6,800	27.1%
<b>TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available</b>	<b>2,756</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,237</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2,946</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>25,090</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TOTAL POPULATION</b>	<b>2,764</b>		<b>324</b>		<b>197</b>		<b>2,243</b>		<b>2,954</b>		<b>25,090</b>	

NOTES: Table presents data on functional proxy indicators in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). Where measurement concepts have changed, proxy numbers have been refreshed. See page 2 for additional details.

# WISe Service Characteristics

July 2016 to June 2017

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ►									
			Great Rivers		Greater Columbia		King County		North Central		North Sound	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
<b>Program Totals</b>												
WISe Clients (unduplicated)*	2,719		193		592		361		65		406	
Service Months	14,516		945		3,213		2,105		324		2,319	
Service Encounters	177,906		13,226		32,529		19,038		3,708		31,163	
Service Encounters per Month	12.3		14.0		10.1		9.0		11.4		13.4	
<b>Service Location - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Outpatient	5.3	43.0%	7.2	51.2%	6.2	60.8%	6.0	66.1%	6.5	57.2%	4.8	35.7%
Home	3.4	27.6%	2.7	19.1%	1.8	17.3%	0.7	7.2%	1.8	16.0%	4.6	34.2%
Other	2.5	20.4%	3.0	21.1%	1.2	11.8%	1.4	15.7%	1.5	13.2%	3.3	24.3%
School	0.9	7.5%	0.9	6.3%	0.8	7.8%	0.9	10.2%	1.3	11.6%	0.7	5.2%
Emergency Room - Hospital	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.7%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.4%	0.1	0.4%
Residential Care Setting	0.1	0.5%	0.0	0.1%	0.1	0.6%	0.0	0.5%	0.0	0.3%	0.0	0.2%
Correctional Facility	0.1	0.5%	0.2	1.4%	0.1	1.4%	0.0	<0.1%	0.2	1.4%	0.0	<0.1%
<b>Service Modality - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
<b>Individual Tx/Other Intensive Services</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
Individual Treatment Services	5.3	43.4%	7.3	51.9%	5.8	57.0%	6.3	69.2%	4.5	39.6%	2.7	20.0%
Other Intensive Services	0.2	1.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.5%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
<b>Care Coord./Child &amp; Family Team Mtg.</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>52.5%</b>
Care Coordination Services	1.3	10.9%	0.3	2.0%	0.3	3.4%	0.5	5.7%	0.3	2.6%	4.1	30.3%
Child And Family Team Meeting	1.6	12.7%	1.8	13.1%	1.5	14.3%	0.0	0.4%	1.4	12.0%	3.0	22.2%
<b>Peer Support</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>11.4%</b>
<b>Family Treatment</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>7.8%</b>
<b>Crisis Services</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<b>Other Mental Health Services</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
Medication Management	0.3	2.1%	0.2	1.6%	0.3	3.4%	0.2	2.4%	0.2	1.5%	0.4	3.3%
Intake Evaluation	0.2	1.4%	0.3	2.2%	0.1	1.3%	0.1	1.1%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.8%
Rehabilitation Case Management	0.1	0.9%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	1.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.2	1.4%	0.0	<0.1%
Group Treatment Services	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.8%	0.1	0.8%	0.1	0.8%	0.1	0.4%
Therapeutic Psychoeducation	0.1	0.4%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.2	1.4%
Interpreter Services	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.3%	0.0	0.2%	0.1	0.7%	0.0	0.3%
Medication Monitoring	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%
Involuntary Treatment Investigation	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.2%	0.0	<0.1%
Psychological Assessment	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.3%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Co-Occurring Treatment Services	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Engagement And Outreach	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	<0.1%



# WISe Service Characteristics

July 2016 to June 2017

Continued from previous page

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ►									
			Pierce County		Salish		Southwest		Spokane Region		Thurston Mason	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
<b>Program Totals</b>	<i>data not available</i>											
WISe Clients (unduplicated)*	2,719		407		63				278		337	
Service Months	14,516		2,039		417				1,270		1,789	
Service Encounters	177,906		26,259		6,627				19,107		24,674	
Service Encounters per Month	12.3		12.9		15.9				15.0		13.8	
<b>Service Location - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
Outpatient	5.3	43.0%	2.8	22.0%	4.9	31.1%			7.3	48.3%	3.5	25.3%
Home	3.4	27.6%	6.1	47.0%	4.9	30.9%			3.5	23.3%	5.1	37.1%
Other	2.5	20.4%	3.3	25.6%	4.4	27.6%			1.6	10.5%	4.3	31.3%
School	0.9	7.5%	0.5	4.1%	1.5	9.4%			2.2	14.4%	0.8	5.7%
Emergency Room - Hospital	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.7%	0.1	0.6%			0.3	1.9%	0.0	0.1%
Residential Care Setting	0.1	0.5%	0.1	0.5%	0.0	0.2%			0.3	1.7%	0.0	0.2%
Correctional Facility	0.1	0.5%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.3%			0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.2%
<b>Service Modality - Average number of encounters per WISe service month</b>												
<b>Individual Tx/Other Intensive Services</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>50.5%</b>			<b>8.2</b>	<b>54.6%</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>36.4%</b>
Individual Treatment Services	5.3	43.4%	4.8	37.1%	6.6	41.5%			7.7	51.0%	4.4	32.2%
Other Intensive Services	0.2	1.4%	0.0	0.2%	1.4	9.0%			0.5	3.6%	0.6	4.2%
<b>Care Coord./Child &amp; Family Team Mtg.</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>3.6%</b>			<b>1.9</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>35.7%</b>
Care Coordination Services	1.3	10.9%	0.5	4.0%	0.0	0.0%			1.3	8.5%	2.5	18.1%
Child And Family Team Meeting	1.6	12.7%	1.6	12.5%	0.6	3.6%			0.6	4.0%	2.4	17.6%
<b>Peer Support</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>17.3%</b>			<b>2.0</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>11.5%</b>
<b>Family Treatment</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>19.4%</b>			<b>0.6</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
<b>Crisis Services</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>			<b>0.8</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Other Mental Health Services</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>8.0%</b>			<b>1.6</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>3.3%</b>
Medication Management	0.3	2.1%	0.1	0.8%	0.3	1.9%			0.3	1.8%	0.1	0.9%
Intake Evaluation	0.2	1.4%	0.3	2.5%	0.0	0.2%			0.4	2.6%	0.1	0.5%
Rehabilitation Case Management	0.1	0.9%	0.0	0.4%	0.6	3.6%			0.2	1.4%	0.2	1.7%
Group Treatment Services	0.1	0.7%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%			0.4	2.8%	0.0	<0.1%
Therapeutic Psychoeducation	0.1	0.4%	0.0	0.0%	0.3	2.1%			0.0	0.2%	0.0	<0.1%
Interpreter Services	0.0	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%			0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Medication Monitoring	0.0	0.2%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%			0.2	1.3%	0.0	<0.1%
Involuntary Treatment Investigation	0.0	0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.1	0.3%			0.1	0.5%	0.0	<0.1%
Psychological Assessment	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%			0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%
Co-Occurring Treatment Services	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%			0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Engagement And Outreach	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%	0.0	0.0%			0.0	<0.1%	0.0	<0.1%

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data (BHSS).

NOTES: WISe services include all WISe mental health outpatient service encounters recorded in BHSS data system, including DBHR-paid managed care mental health outpatient services received in a month with at least one "U8" mental health service. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and FIMC boundaries. Youth served in more than one region during the report date range have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters in the date range. Service months and service encounters for youth served in more than one region during a month have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the month. Because a small number of clients participating in the Address Confidentiality Program are included in statewide totals but not in regional breakdowns, numbers do not sum to statewide totals. \*WISe services provided in FIMC regions (in SFY 2017, Southwest) are not available in BHSS data system, so client count shown here is lower than total WISe program client count on page 2.



# WISe Outcomes

Initial assessments July 2014 to June 2017, follow-ups 6 months later

## Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ►									
			Great Rivers BHO		Greater Columbia BHO		King County BHO		North Central BHO		North Sound BHO	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
<b>Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2017 Q4 and 6-Month Follow-Up</b>	<b>1,922</b>		<b>82</b>		<b>329</b>		<b>210</b>		<b>25</b>		<b>405</b>	
<b>Total Counts of Needs and Strengths</b>												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	11	14	10	14	9	10	8	17	12	15	12
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	8	9	8	9	9	10	5	6	6	7
<b>Needs: Behavioral/Emotional</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Emotional control problems	78%	56%	78%	54%	83%	47%	44%	36%	88%	68%	81%	62%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	63%	45%	74%	46%	40%	32%	68%	44%	67%	48%
Attention/impulse problems	66%	56%	70%	59%	67%	51%	38%	36%	52%	*	76%	69%
Anxiety	61%	49%	61%	43%	60%	38%	45%	42%	56%	48%	62%	57%
Oppositional behavior	57%	42%	61%	46%	57%	42%	36%	26%	52%	*	60%	44%
<b>Needs: Risk Factors</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Decision-making problems	59%	44%	70%	56%	63%	37%	26%	20%	72%	*	65%	52%
Danger to others	43%	23%	49%	24%	41%	18%	23%	14%	40%	*	44%	24%
Intended misbehavior	31%	24%	27%	27%	34%	22%	18%	15%	56%	*	35%	25%
Suicide risk	26%	12%	29%	*	30%	9%	10%	10%	*	*	21%	9%
Non-suicidal self-injury	23%	10%	26%	*	25%	9%	10%	6%	*	*	22%	10%
<b>Needs: Life Domain Functioning</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family problems	81%	56%	77%	66%	82%	46%	50%	35%	76%	60%	84%	62%
Interpersonal problems	65%	47%	61%	43%	58%	36%	46%	35%	88%	80%	74%	57%
Living situation problems	63%	41%	65%	45%	67%	37%	36%	27%	68%	60%	66%	48%
School achievement problems	54%	39%	49%	30%	56%	34%	43%	33%	64%	*	56%	43%
School behavior problems	51%	32%	60%	28%	46%	29%	43%	31%	68%	52%	55%	35%
<b>Needs: Other Domains</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family stress problems	75%	56%	76%	54%	74%	47%	57%	49%	76%	68%	76%	62%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	44%	39%	33%	48%	29%	26%	43%	41%	50%	50%	49%	39%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	40%	41%	48%	48%	26%	23%	46%	48%	75%	*	42%	42%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	32%	21%	29%	27%	27%	17%	17%	13%	68%	60%	40%	30%
Caregiver mental health problems	29%	29%	34%	27%	22%	22%	25%	21%	*	*	23%	27%
<b>Strengths</b>			<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>									
Educational system strengths	63%	78%	63%	85%	65%	79%	77%	81%	*	72%	65%	81%
Optimism	57%	67%	62%	76%	60%	71%	69%	74%	52%	52%	51%	59%
Resourcefulness	54%	64%	72%	79%	65%	72%	60%	76%	*	40%	30%	41%
Resilience	48%	61%	61%	71%	52%	68%	66%	77%	*	*	26%	36%
Community connections	44%	54%	52%	56%	45%	60%	54%	67%	*	*	37%	50%

Continued on next page

# WISe Outcomes

## Initial assessments July 2014 to June 2017, follow-ups 6 months later



Continued from previous page

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶									
	Intake	6 Mos.	OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Salish BHO		Southwest FIMC		Spokane CR BHO		Thurston Mason BHO	
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2017 Q4 and 6-Month Follow-Up	1,922		307		52		225		99		188	
<b>Total Counts of Needs and Strengths</b>												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	14	11	15	12	17	11	16	12	16	11	14	10
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	8	9	6	7	8	8	7	9	6	8
<b>Needs: Behavioral/Emotional</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Emotional control problems	78%	56%	80%	65%	88%	65%	87%	63%	91%	72%	77%	47%
Mood disturbance problems	67%	47%	69%	52%	81%	54%	78%	56%	76%	49%	65%	45%
Attention/impulse problems	66%	56%	67%	62%	83%	63%	67%	58%	80%	63%	58%	46%
Anxiety	61%	49%	57%	48%	73%	56%	70%	59%	72%	53%	63%	51%
Oppositional behavior	57%	42%	59%	47%	56%	48%	60%	40%	71%	53%	61%	39%
<b>Needs: Risk Factors</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Decision-making problems	59%	44%	66%	53%	58%	37%	60%	47%	72%	47%	49%	41%
Danger to others	43%	23%	49%	32%	48%	31%	50%	27%	63%	28%	36%	18%
Intended misbehavior	31%	24%	22%	23%	38%	25%	35%	28%	52%	32%	30%	22%
Suicide risk	26%	12%	35%	20%	29%	*	38%	16%	20%	*	27%	12%
Non-suicidal self-injury	23%	10%	25%	12%	38%	*	28%	15%	29%	12%	20%	8%
<b>Needs: Life Domain Functioning</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family problems	81%	56%	88%	65%	88%	63%	88%	59%	79%	61%	87%	51%
Interpersonal problems	65%	47%	62%	48%	71%	46%	67%	50%	81%	49%	69%	50%
Living situation problems	63%	41%	69%	50%	42%	37%	61%	37%	64%	46%	71%	35%
School achievement problems	54%	39%	54%	43%	52%	52%	59%	43%	56%	35%	53%	36%
School behavior problems	51%	32%	50%	34%	46%	33%	52%	32%	59%	30%	48%	25%
<b>Needs: Other Domains</b>			<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>									
Family stress problems	75%	56%	80%	66%	90%	60%	80%	56%	82%	61%	72%	47%
TA youth deficits in educational goals/progress	44%	39%	50%	48%	53%	33%	44%	44%	45%	50%	51%	38%
TA youth deficits in independent living skills	40%	41%	42%	46%	33%	33%	44%	43%	50%	73%	39%	44%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	32%	21%	34%	26%	37%	*	27%	14%	30%	18%	35%	14%
Caregiver mental health problems	29%	29%	34%	40%	44%	38%	36%	34%	30%	26%	33%	27%
<b>Strengths</b>			<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>									
Educational system strengths	63%	78%	64%	75%	52%	73%	60%	73%	59%	76%	52%	74%
Optimism	57%	67%	67%	73%	40%	54%	55%	71%	42%	57%	46%	68%
Resourcefulness	54%	64%	72%	79%	38%	37%	62%	67%	44%	72%	44%	60%
Resiliency	48%	61%	67%	79%	60%	58%	39%	54%	45%	68%	45%	59%
Community connections	44%	54%	51%	58%	46%	37%	43%	49%	40%	57%	37%	46%

DATA SOURCE: Behavioral Health Assessment System (BHAS).

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 07/01/2014 and 6/30/2017 and subsequently completed a 6-month reassessment as of 12/31/2017. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. 15 records from CLIP consumers were omitted from this report. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each region. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. TA (Transition to Adulthood) items are only administered to youth age 15+. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. Strengths are identified when the clinician rated a strengths item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). \*Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

# WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County




DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded in administrative data as of December 2017, based on the number of children receiving WISe services in each region living in each county. Due to data lag and other completeness issues, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as October 2016 and as recently as November 2017. Data completeness issues may yield underestimates of WISe caseloads. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). \*Caseload counts of less than ten have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	<b>1,693</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>57%</b>
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	14	12	117%
Benton	102	102	100%
Chelan	23	32	72%
Clallam	*	54	<10%
Clark	118	195	61%
Columbia	*	2	>90%
Cowlitz	75	83	90%
Douglas	17	18	94%
Ferry	*	5	10-24%
Franklin	43	43	100%
Garfield	*	1	>90%
Grant	27	40	68%
Grays Harbor	36	50	72%
Island	27	25	108%
Jefferson	12	13	92%
King	197	527	37%
Kitsap	49	122	40%
Kittitas	26	19	137%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	32	58	55%
Lincoln	*	5	10-24%
Mason	56	33	170%
Okanogan	*	22	<10%
Pacific	*	8	50-74%
Pend Oreille	*	7	10-24%
Pierce	238	345	69%
San Juan	0	3	0%
Skagit	33	69	48%
Skamania	*	6	50-74%
Snohomish	127	264	48%
Spokane	258	335	77%
Stevens	22	28	79%
Thurston	153	109	140%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	27	40	68%
Whatcom	52	99	53%
Whitman	13	10	130%
Yakima	103	175	59%

# WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region



		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
<b>ALL REGIONS</b>		<b>1,693</b>	<b>2,985</b>	<b>57%</b>
<b>Great Rivers BHO</b>	Cowlitz	140	203	69%
	Grays Harbor			
	Lewis Pacific			
	Wahkiakum			
<b>Greater Columbia BHO</b>	Asotin	309	418	74%
	Benton			
	Columbia			
	Franklin			
	Garfield			
	Kittitas			
	Klickitat			
	Walla Walla			
	Whitman			
	Yakima			
<b>King County BHO</b>	King	195	527	37%
<b>North Central BHO</b>	Chelan	63	90	70%
	Douglas			
	Grant			
<b>North Sound BHO</b>	Island	224	460	49%
	San Juan			
	Skagit			
	Snohomish			
	Whatcom			
<b>OptumHealth Pierce BHO</b>	Pierce	240	345	70%
<b>Salish BHO</b>	Clallam	63	189	33%
	Jefferson			
	Kitsap			
<b>Southwest FIMC</b>	Clark	119	201	59%
	Skamania			
<b>Spokane CR BHO</b>	Adams	284	410	69%
	Ferry			
	Lincoln			
	Okanogan			
	Pend Oreille			
	Spokane			
	Stevens			
	Thurston			
<b>Thurston-Mason BHO</b>	Mason	205	142	144%
	Thurston			

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded in administrative data as of December 2017, based on the number of children receiving WISe services in each region. Region is defined using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Due to data lag and other data completeness issues, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as October 2016 and as recently as December 2017. Data completeness issues may yield underestimates of WISe caseloads. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015).