



WISe Dashboard

Wraparound with Intensive Services

ANNUAL UPDATE
SFY 2016 Q1 – SFY 2016 Q3

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

JANUARY 2017

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services
Research and Data Analysis Division
Olympia, Washington

CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov
Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov
David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov

Contents

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, July 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016

- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
- Behavioral Health & Services
- Social & Health Services
- Functional Proxy Indicators

WISe Service Characteristics, July 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target, by RSN and County, Data Available as of April 2016

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016

Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL		
Gender												
Female	566	42.0%	111	46.4%	39	37.1%	416	41.5%	502	38.7%	12,102	48.2%
Male	781	58.0%	128	53.6%	66	62.9%	587	58.5%	794	61.3%	12,988	51.8%
Age Group												
0-4	21	1.6%	8	3.3%	1	1.0%	12	1.2%	13	1.0%	807	3.2%
5-11	384	28.5%	58	24.3%	32	30.5%	294	29.3%	425	32.8%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	900	66.8%	161	67.4%	68	64.8%	671	66.9%	818	63.1%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	42	3.1%	12	5.0%	4	3.8%	26	2.6%	40	3.1%	5,739	22.9%
Race/Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic White	625	46.4%	109	45.6%	45	42.9%	471	47.0%	607	46.8%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	722	53.6%	130	54.4%	60	57.1%	532	53.0%	689	53.2%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	316	23.5%	54	22.6%	23	21.9%	239	23.8%	290	22.4%	5,195	20.7%
Black	218	16.2%	28	11.7%	25	23.8%	165	16.5%	191	14.7%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	168	12.5%	37	15.5%	18	17.1%	113	11.3%	170	13.1%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	85	6.3%	16	6.7%	1	1.0%	68	6.8%	84	6.5%	1,620	6.5%
Region												
Great Rivers BHO	56	4.2%	*	*	0	0.0%	48	4.8%	62	4.8%	1,751	7.0%
Greater Columbia BHO	356	26.4%	76	31.8%	26	24.8%	254	25.3%	321	24.8%	3,358	13.4%
King County BHO	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,525	18.0%
North Central BHO	*	*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	10	0.8%	949	3.8%
North Sound BHO	207	15.4%	32	13.4%	*	*	171	17.0%	228	17.6%	3,287	13.1%
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	220	16.3%	13	5.4%	32	30.5%	175	17.4%	240	18.5%	3,157	12.6%
Salish BHO	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,401	5.6%
Southwest FIMC	182	13.5%	28	11.7%	24	22.9%	130	13.0%	144	11.1%	1,944	7.7%
Spokane CR BHO	27	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	2.7%	35	2.7%	3,478	13.9%
Thurston Mason BHO	297	22.0%	82	34.3%	19	18.1%	196	19.5%	256	19.8%	1,240	4.9%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,347	100%	239	100%	105	100%	1,003	100%	1,296	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,350		240		105		1,005		1,302		25,090	

DATA SOURCE: List of youth screened and referral outcomes from BHAS data, list of youth receiving WISe and in WISe proxy from administrative data. Characteristics of all three populations from administrative data.

NOTES: Table presents characteristics of youth screened for WISe and receiving WISe Services between 7/1/2015 and 3/31/2016 as well as those in the WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient/Other. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in the date range resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in the date range in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY 2015. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) and Fully Integrated Managed Care (FIMC) boundaries. Region assignment is based on location of residence in index month. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served could not yet be linked with administrative data. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016

Behavioral Health & Services, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRs		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags												
No known behavioral health treatment need	144	10.7%	41	17.2%	5	4.8%	98	9.8%	56	4.3%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	1,185	88.0%	196	82.0%	100	95.2%	889	88.6%	1,235	95.3%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	276	20.5%	48	20.1%	17	16.2%	211	21.0%	268	20.7%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	258	19.2%	46	19.2%	17	16.2%	195	19.4%	263	20.3%	6,800	27.1%
Mental Health Diagnosis History												
Psychotic disorder	149	11.1%	25	10.5%	10	9.5%	114	11.4%	193	14.9%	2,625	10.5%
Mania/bipolar disorder	249	18.5%	29	12.1%	32	30.5%	188	18.7%	342	26.4%	4,673	18.6%
Depression	600	44.5%	106	44.4%	39	37.1%	455	45.4%	656	50.6%	11,537	46.0%
Anxiety	624	46.3%	96	40.2%	62	59.0%	466	46.5%	707	54.6%	12,232	48.8%
ADHD	545	40.5%	75	31.4%	60	57.1%	410	40.9%	622	48.0%	7,161	28.5%
Disruptive/impulse/conduct	482	35.8%	59	24.7%	53	50.5%	370	36.9%	586	45.2%	4,989	19.9%
Adjustment disorder	207	15.4%	34	14.2%	27	25.7%	146	14.6%	200	15.4%	3,016	12.0%
Mental Health Prescription History												
Antipsychotic	271	20.1%	42	17.6%	23	21.9%	206	20.5%	362	27.9%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	23	1.7%	1	0.4%	1	1.0%	21	2.1%	30	2.3%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	486	36.1%	78	32.6%	42	40.0%	366	36.5%	565	43.6%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	197	14.6%	36	15.1%	17	16.2%	144	14.4%	231	17.8%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	439	32.6%	55	23.0%	44	41.9%	340	33.9%	520	40.1%	6,299	25.1%
Mental Health Service History												
MH inpatient	154	11.4%	25	10.5%	8	7.6%	121	12.1%	197	15.2%	1,909	7.6%
MH outpatient - DBHR	1,009	74.9%	159	66.5%	83	79.0%	767	76.5%	1,158	89.4%	17,173	68.4%
MH outpatient - HCA	392	29.1%	69	28.9%	28	26.7%	295	29.4%	447	34.5%	4,087	16.3%
MH outpatient - Tribal	110	8.2%	17	7.1%	12	11.4%	81	8.1%	109	8.4%	1,363	5.4%
CA Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	134	9.9%	26	10.9%	74	70.5%	34	3.4%	36	2.8%	972	3.9%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Service History												
SUD inpatient	32	2.4%	6	2.5%	1	1.0%	25	2.5%	31	2.4%	1,115	4.4%
SUD outpatient	82	6.1%	14	5.9%	6	5.7%	62	6.2%	75	5.8%	3,040	12.1%
SUD detoxification services	4	0.3%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%	2	0.2%	391	1.6%
SUD other (case mgmt, assessmt, op sub, other)	127	9.4%	24	10.0%	8	7.6%	95	9.5%	118	9.1%	3,983	15.9%
Any SUD services	139	10.3%	26	10.9%	8	7.6%	105	10.5%	129	10.0%	4,595	18.3%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,347	100%	239	100%	105	100%	1,003	100%	1,296	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,350		240		105		1,005		1,302		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on behavioral health and services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). See page 2 for additional details.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016

Social & Health Services, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRS		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Children's Administration (CA) History												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	134	9.9%	26	10.9%	74	70.5%	34	3.4%	36	2.8%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	280	20.8%	42	17.6%	100	95.2%	138	13.8%	169	13.0%	3,873	15.4%
Any CA Services	809	60.1%	112	46.9%	103	98.1%	594	59.2%	777	60.0%	10,300	41.1%
Juvenile Justice History												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JR)	38	2.8%	7	2.9%	3	2.9%	28	2.8%	22	1.7%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	193	14.3%	27	11.3%	21	20.0%	145	14.5%	192	14.8%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions	199	14.8%	28	11.7%	21	20.0%	150	15.0%	207	16.0%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JR, arrests, convictions)	265	19.7%	35	14.6%	29	27.6%	201	20.0%	270	20.8%	6,903	27.5%
Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History												
Any DDA services	64	4.8%	6	2.5%	13	12.4%	45	4.5%	80	6.2%	1,112	4.4%
Economic Services Administration (ESA) History												
Basic Food	803	59.6%	144	60.3%	27	25.7%	632	63.0%	812	62.7%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	274	20.3%	56	23.4%	9	8.6%	209	20.8%	233	18.0%	4,465	17.8%
Health Care Authority (HCA) History												
Any ER visits	671	49.8%	131	54.8%	42	40.0%	498	49.7%	690	53.2%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	375	27.8%	67	28.0%	26	24.8%	282	28.1%	436	33.6%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	84	6.2%	15	6.3%	6	5.7%	63	6.3%	81	6.3%	3,024	12.1%
Out-of-Home Placement History												
Out-of-home placement and psychiatric hospitalizations	412	30.6%	62	25.9%	100	95.2%	250	24.9%	349	26.9%	5,941	23.7%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,347	100%	239	100%	105	100%	1,003	100%	1,296	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,350		240		105		1,005		1,302		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on social and health services in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). See page 2 for additional details.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations

July 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016

Functional Proxy Indicators, 12 Month Period

	SCREENED for WISe							SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)		
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: Outpatient/Other		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: CLIP/BRs		SUBGROUP Referral Outcome: WISe		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES			
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Mental Health Inpatient Stays												
DBHR-MH Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP)	7	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.7%	16	1.2%	84	0.3%
DBHR-MH State Mental Hospitals	13	1.0%	1	0.4%	1	1.0%	11	1.1%	20	1.5%	202	0.8%
DBHR-MH Community Inpatient	142	10.5%	24	10.0%	7	6.7%	111	11.1%	175	13.5%	1,757	7.0%
Children's Administration Encounters												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	134	9.9%	26	10.9%	74	70.5%	34	3.4%	36	2.8%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	28	2.1%	4	1.7%	16	15.2%	8	0.8%	14	1.1%	257	1.0%
In CA placement in year with >3 lifetime CA out-of-home placements	188	14.0%	32	13.4%	75	71.4%	81	8.1%	87	6.7%	2,910	11.6%
Other Risk Indicators												
Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) services	38	2.8%	7	2.9%	3	2.9%	28	2.8%	22	1.7%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted	199	14.8%	28	11.7%	21	20.0%	150	15.0%	207	16.0%	5,534	22.1%
DBHR crisis encounter	377	28.0%	62	25.9%	26	24.8%	289	28.8%	508	39.2%	6,422	25.6%
Homelessness	19	1.4%	1	0.4%	1	1.0%	17	1.7%	17	1.3%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	144	10.7%	11	4.6%	19	18.1%	114	11.4%	201	15.5%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI diagnosis on claim	66	4.9%	13	5.4%	2	1.9%	51	5.1%	78	6.0%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI diagnosis on claim	147	10.9%	24	10.0%	10	9.5%	113	11.3%	196	15.1%	2,296	9.2%
DBHR-MH utilization in year at or above the 90th percentile	657	48.8%	120	50.2%	62	59.0%	475	47.4%	772	59.6%	5,114	20.4%
<i>Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter . . .</i>												
Drug overdose	38	2.8%	6	2.5%	0	0.0%	32	3.2%	50	3.9%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	61	4.5%	15	6.3%	2	1.9%	44	4.4%	70	5.4%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	27	2.0%	4	1.7%	1	1.0%	22	2.2%	25	1.9%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	79	5.9%	15	6.3%	3	2.9%	61	6.1%	72	5.6%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	21	1.6%	5	2.1%	1	1.0%	15	1.5%	16	1.2%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need flag	276	20.5%	48	20.1%	17	16.2%	211	21.0%	268	20.7%	6,800	27.1%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,347	100%	239	100%	105	100%	1,003	100%	1,296	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,350		240		105		1,005		1,302		25,090	

NOTES: Table presents data on functional proxy indicators in 12 months prior to the month of screening (youth screened for WISe services), the 12 months prior to the first month of WISe services in the time period (youth receiving WISe services), or the 12 months of the SFY (WISe proxy population). See page 2 for additional details.

WISe Service Characteristics

July 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016

Continued from previous page

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶									
			OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Salish BHO		Southwest FIMC		Spokane CR BHO		Thurston Mason BHO	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Program Totals	<i>no services in date range</i>											
WISe Clients (unduplicated)	1,302		241		0		147		35		256	
Service Encounters	84,274		17,344		0		9,485		3,445		18,634	
Service Hours	83,290		24,149		0		10,754		4,717		14,180	
Service Months	5,847		1,013		0		602		198		1,253	
Service Provider Type	HOURS											
Below Masters Level	35,616	42.8%	15,678	64.9%			3,975	37.0%	730	15.5%	3,266	23.0%
MA/PhD	30,355	36.4%	5,228	21.6%			4,081	37.9%	1,726	36.6%	7,376	52.0%
Peer Counselor	13,571	16.3%	2,852	11.8%			2,335	21.7%	386	8.2%	3,401	24.0%
Other	2,635	3.2%	189	0.8%			257	2.4%	1,707	36.2%	57	0.4%
Psychiatrist/MD	1,064	1.3%	179	0.7%			105	1.0%	163	3.5%	80	0.6%
Designated MH Professional	49	0.1%	23	0.1%			0	0.0%	*	*	0	0.0%
Service Location	HOURS											
Home	31,927	44.6%	14,121	58.5%			4,456	41.4%	1,151	24.4%	6,818	48.1%
Other	19,691	27.5%	5,946	24.6%			3,837	35.7%	435	9.2%	3,798	26.8%
Outpatient	14,544	20.3%	2,650	11.0%			1,489	13.8%	2,439	51.7%	2,233	15.7%
School	4,145	5.8%	1,115	4.6%			843	7.8%	395	8.4%	1,197	8.4%
Emergency Room - Hospital	974	1.4%	254	1.1%			111	1.0%	202	4.3%	40	0.3%
Residential Care Setting	199	0.3%	63	0.3%			*	*	73	1.5%	34	0.2%
Correctional Facility	117	0.2%	0	0.0%			10	0.1%	22	0.5%	60	0.4%
Treatment Modality	HOURS											
Individual Treatment Services	26,767	32.1%	8,588	35.6%			4,620	43.0%	1,434	30.4%	2,645	18.7%
Peer Support	14,489	17.4%	7,012	29.0%			1,922	17.9%	325	6.9%	2,399	16.9%
Child and Family Team Meeting	13,796	16.6%	3,164	13.1%			1,521	14.1%	190	4.0%	3,625	25.6%
Care Coordination Services	8,646	10.4%	465	1.9%			597	5.5%	203	4.3%	1,898	13.4%
Family Treatment	7,829	9.4%	3,051	12.6%			889	8.3%	184	3.9%	1,703	12.0%
Crisis Services	3,335	4.0%	1,319	5.5%			318	3.0%	196	4.1%	121	0.9%
Other intensive services	3,102	3.7%	*	*			*	*	1,799	38.1%	1,102	7.8%
Group Treatment Services	1,577	1.9%	0	0.0%			*	*	94	2.0%	0	0.0%
Intake Evaluation	1,405	1.7%	368	1.5%			360	3.3%	84	1.8%	179	1.3%
Medication Management	840	1.0%	116	0.5%			207	1.9%	18	0.4%	48	0.3%
Rehabilitation Case Management	691	0.8%	44	0.2%			18	0.2%	31	0.7%	310	2.2%
Involuntary Treatment Investigation	492	0.6%	*	*			241	2.2%	121	2.6%	86	0.6%
Interpreter Services	200	0.2%	0	0.0%			35	0.3%	0	0.0%	57	0.4%
Psychological Assessment	50	0.1%	0	0.0%			0	0.0%	19	0.4%	0	0.0%
Therapeutic Psychoeducation	45	0.1%	0	0.0%			17	0.2%	20	0.4%	*	*
Integrated SUD/MH screening	16	< .1%	13	0.1%			0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Integrated SUD/MH assessment	7	< .1%	*	*			0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Medication Monitoring	5	< .1%	*	*			0	0.0%	*	*	*	*

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: WISe services include all mental health outpatient service encounters submitted to CIS with the WISe "U8" modifier and other WISe-approved outpatient services received in a month with at least one "U8" service. Service provider type, location, and treatment modality are based on the distribution of WISe service hours. Region information is displayed using the current Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) boundaries. Youth served in more than one region during the report date range have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters in that date range. Service months for youth served in more than one region during a month have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the month. Service encounters and service hours are allocated to the region in which they occurred. The Greater Columbia BHO service location is not available at the current time and is not included in statewide totals for this metric. Rounding may result in a slight difference between the total and sum of each region. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Outcomes

July 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶									
			Great Rivers BHO		Greater Columbia BHO		King County BHO		North Central BHO		North Sound BHO	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2016 Q3 and 6-Month Follow-Up	693		21		172		0		0		185	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	16	12	12	8	15	10					15	12
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	9	10	8	9					6	7
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Emotional control problems	82%	58%	71%	*	84%	51%					81%	58%
Attention/impulse problems	72%	60%	76%	48%	72%	51%					72%	67%
Mood disturbance	70%	52%	52%	*	80%	51%					63%	42%
Oppositional behavior	63%	45%	*	*	58%	45%					69%	45%
Anxiety	61%	50%	62%	*	58%	40%					63%	57%
Needs: Risk Factors	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Decision-making problems	66%	49%	48%	*	69%	40%					62%	48%
Danger to others	47%	25%	57%	*	41%	19%					46%	23%
Intended misbehavior	35%	25%	*	*	38%	24%					41%	27%
Suicide Risk	28%	11%	*	*	29%	7%					17%	7%
Non-Suicidal self-injury	25%	11%	*	*	25%	11%					18%	8%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family	86%	60%	71%	57%	85%	51%					84%	62%
Living situation problems	71%	46%	57%	*	76%	38%					69%	49%
Interpersonal problems	67%	50%	48%	*	64%	42%					73%	56%
School achievement problems	55%	42%	*	*	54%	37%					50%	40%
School behavior problems	52%	33%	62%	*	45%	31%					53%	32%
Needs: Other Domains	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family stress	78%	58%	76%	48%	77%	49%					73%	59%
Youth deficits in educational goals/progress	44%	36%	*	50%	26%	24%					40%	29%
Caregiver deficits in independent living skills	39%	41%	*	*	26%	25%					41%	38%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	34%	23%	*	*	33%	20%					35%	27%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	32%	25%	*	*	23%	18%					39%	38%
Strengths	<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>											
Educational system strengths	59%	77%	81%	100%	63%	76%					65%	82%
Resiliency	42%	58%	62%	76%	49%	66%					25%	33%
Optimism	52%	66%	81%	86%	52%	69%					46%	59%
Recreation	47%	58%	62%	81%	53%	66%					40%	50%
Family	54%	65%	67%	76%	66%	78%					46%	53%

Continued on next page

WISe Outcomes

July 1, 2014 to March 31, 2016

Continued from previous page


	WASHINGTON		BY REGION ▶									
	ALL WISe SERVICES		OptumHealth Pierce BHO		Salish BHO		Southwest FIMC		Spokane CR BHO		Thurston Mason BHO	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS by SFY 2016 Q3 and 6-Month Follow-Up	693		138		0		98		*		73	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths												
Average # of actionable treatment needs	16	12	18	14			17	12			17	13
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	8	9			7	9			7	9
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Emotional control problems	82%	58%	83%	69%			86%	61%			75%	51%
Attention/impulse problems	72%	60%	78%	65%			66%	60%			66%	54%
Mood disturbance	70%	52%	69%	56%			80%	61%			61%	59%
Oppositional behavior	63%	45%	70%	52%			56%	36%			63%	45%
Anxiety	61%	50%	56%	48%			70%	60%			61%	49%
Needs: Risk Factors	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Decision-making problems	66%	49%	78%	61%			59%	44%			55%	55%
Danger to others	47%	25%	55%	33%			54%	28%			35%	24%
Intended misbehavior	35%	25%	29%	27%			35%	22%			27%	23%
Suicide Risk	28%	11%	34%	20%			43%	13%			22%	*
Non-Suicidal self-injury	25%	11%	28%	16%			38%	12%			22%	*
Needs: Life Domain Functioning	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family	86%	60%	90%	65%			89%	59%			86%	63%
Living situation problems	71%	46%	78%	54%			66%	39%			69%	48%
Interpersonal problems	67%	50%	65%	49%			68%	54%			69%	54%
School achievement problems	55%	42%	58%	45%			67%	55%			51%	45%
School behavior problems	52%	33%	58%	39%			55%	33%			48%	29%
Needs: Other Domains	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>											
Family stress	78%	58%	86%	67%			85%	62%			71%	52%
Youth deficits in educational goals/progress	44%	36%	59%	55%			55%	55%			50%	24%
Caregiver deficits in independent living skills	39%	41%	41%	49%			61%	61%			39%	42%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	34%	23%	37%	24%			35%	18%			30%	20%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	32%	25%	27%	18%			39%	25%			36%	29%
Strengths	<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>											
Educational system strengths	59%	77%	48%	62%			58%	65%			51%	66%
Resiliency	42%	58%	54%	73%			59%	76%			47%	69%
Optimism	52%	66%	60%	80%			32%	55%			41%	63%
Recreation	47%	58%	59%	67%			55%	73%			46%	61%
Family	54%	65%	51%	62%			41%	59%			45%	51%

DATA SOURCE: Behavioral Health Assessment System (BHAS).

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment between 07/01/2015 and 3/31/2016 and subsequently completed a 6-month reassessment as of 11/30/2016. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. 15 records from CLIP consumers were omitted from this report. The table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment, are shown. The top five ranking reflects the statewide ranking and may not reflect item rank order for each region. A decline at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. The top five strengths, by growth over time, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. Strengths are identified when the clinician rated a strengths item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals at the regional level have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County

Data Available as of April 2016**




	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
WASHINGTON	723	2,985	24%
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	*	12	25-49%
Benton	71	102	70%
Chelan	*	32	10-24%
Clallam	0	54	0%
Clark	94	195	48%
Columbia	*	2	50-74%
Cowlitz	25	83	30%
Douglas	*	18	<10%
Ferry	0	5	0%
Franklin	19	43	44%
Garfield	*	1	>90%
Grant	*	40	<10%
Grays Harbor	*	50	<10%
Island	*	25	10-24%
Jefferson	0	13	0%
King	0	527	0%
Kitsap	0	122	0%
Kittitas	19	19	100%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	0	58	0%
Lincoln	0	5	0%
Mason	26	33	79%
Okanogan	0	22	0%
Pacific	0	8	0%
Pend Oreille	0	7	0%
Pierce	121	345	35%
San Juan	0	3	0%
Skagit	32	69	46%
Skamania	*	6	25-49%
Snohomish	81	264	31%
Spokane	23	335	7%
Stevens	*	28	<10%
Thurston	120	109	110%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	17	40	43%
Whatcom	42	99	42%
Whitman	*	10	75-90%
Yakima	63	175	36%

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of April 2016, based on the number of children residing in each county receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as February 2016 and as recent as March 2016. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts of less than ten have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges. **Could not be updated due to a transition in DBHR's data collection and reporting infrastructure.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region

Data Available as of April 2016**



Regions April 2016		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
ALL REGIONS		723	2,985	24%
Great Rivers BHO	Cowlitz	25	203	12%
	Grays Harbor			
	Lewis Pacific			
	Wahkiakum			
Greater Columbia BHO	Asotin	200	418	48%
	Benton			
	Columbia			
	Franklin			
	Garfield			
	Kittitas			
	Klickitat			
	Walla Walla			
	Whitman			
	Yakima			
King County BHO	King	0	527	0%
North Central BHO	Chelan	*	90	10-24%
	Douglas			
	Grant			
North Sound BHO	Island	154	460	33%
	San Juan			
	Skagit			
	Snohomish			
	Whatcom			
OptumHealth Pierce BHO	Pierce	121	345	35%
Salish BHO	Clallam	0	189	0%
	Jefferson			
	Kitsap			
Southwest FIMC	Clark	95	201	47%
Spokane CR BHO	Adams	24	410	6%
	Ferry			
	Lincoln			
	Okanogan			
	Pend Oreille			
	Spokane			
	Stevens			
	Thurston-Mason BHO			
	Thurston			

DATA SOURCE: Administrative data.

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of April 2016, based on the number of children residing in each region receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as February 2016 and as recent as March 2016. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts less than ten but greater than zero have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges. **Could not be updated due to a transition in DBHR's data collection and reporting infrastructure.