



WISE Dashboard

Wraparound with Intensive Services

- Intensive Care Coordination
- Intensive Mental Health Services Provided in Home and Community Settings
- Mobile Crisis Intervention and Stabilization Services

JANUARY 2016

Prepared by Washington State Department of Social and Health Services
Research and Data Analysis Division
Olympia, Washington

CONTACTS

Bridget Pavelle, PhD, Senior Research Manager, DSHS/RDA, 360.902.0741, bridget.pavelle@dshs.wa.gov
Barb Lucenko, PhD, Chief, RDA Program Research and Evaluation Section, 360.902.0890, barbara.lucenko@dshs.wa.gov
David Mancuso, PhD, Director, DSHS Research and Data Analysis Division (RDA), 360.902.7557, david.mancuso@dshs.wa.gov

Contents

WISE Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, SFY 2015

- Demographic and Geographic Characteristics
- Behavioral Health History
- Cross-System Involvement History
- Functional Proxy Indicators

WISE Service Characteristics, SFY 2015

Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up, SFY 2015 Cohort

WISE Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target, by RSN and County, Data Available as of December 2015

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, SFY 2015

Demographic and Geographic Characteristics

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into Outpatient (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into CLIP/BRS (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into WISe (SFY 2015)		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Gender												
Female	507	39.6%	71	41.8%	61	41.5%	375	39.0%	346	37.6%	12,102	48.2%
Male	772	60.4%	99	58.2%	86	58.5%	587	61.0%	575	62.4%	12,988	51.8%
Age Group												
0-4	10	0.8%	1	0.6%	2	1.4%	7	0.7%	4	0.4%	807	3.2%
5-11	398	31.1%	48	28.2%	52	35.4%	298	31.0%	315	34.2%	5,269	21.0%
12-17	816	63.8%	112	65.9%	88	59.9%	616	64.0%	572	62.1%	13,275	52.9%
18-20	55	4.3%	9	5.3%	5	3.4%	41	4.3%	30	3.3%	5,739	22.9%
Race/Ethnicity												
Non-Hispanic White	579	45.3%	71	41.8%	56	38.1%	452	47.0%	436	47.3%	11,603	46.2%
Minority	700	54.7%	99	58.2%	91	61.9%	510	53.0%	485	52.7%	13,487	53.8%
Minority Category (not mutually exclusive)												
Hispanic	294	23.0%	51	30.0%	25	17.0%	218	22.7%	180	19.5%	5,195	20.7%
Black	183	14.3%	16	9.4%	37	25.2%	130	13.5%	116	12.6%	3,916	15.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	200	15.6%	29	17.1%	24	16.3%	147	15.3%	150	16.3%	3,725	14.8%
Asian/Pacific Islander	76	5.9%	13	7.6%	6	4.1%	57	5.9%	62	6.7%	1,620	6.5%
Region												
Chelan-Douglas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	513	2.0%
Grays Harbor-Cowlitz	24	1.9%	*	*	*	*	19	2.0%	19	2.1%	1,173	4.7%
Greater Columbia	412	32.2%	102	60.0%	39	26.5%	271	28.2%	190	20.6%	3,358	13.4%
King	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,525	18.0%
North Sound	274	21.4%	20	11.8%	26	17.7%	228	23.7%	166	18.0%	3,287	13.1%
Peninsula	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,401	5.6%
Pierce	226	17.7%	15	8.8%	39	26.5%	172	17.9%	194	21.1%	3,157	12.6%
Southwest	157	12.3%	12	7.1%	32	21.8%	113	11.7%	125	13.6%	1,944	7.7%
Spokane	*	*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	*	*	3,914	15.6%
Thurston-Mason	185	14.5%	17	10.0%	10	6.8%	158	16.4%	224	24.3%	1,240	4.9%
Timberlands	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	578	2.3%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,279	100%	170	100%	147	100%	962	100%	921	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,282		171		147		964		924		25,090	

NOTES: Tables on pages 1 through 4 present characteristics of youth screened for WISe, receiving WISe Services, and in WISe Proxy in SFY 2015. For youth screened more than once for WISe services in SFY 2015, type of services screened into reflects the following hierarchy: (1) WISe; (2) CLIP/BRS; (3) Outpatient. For youth screened for WISe, index month is month of screen (or, in the case of multiple screens, first screen in SFY resulting in that type of WISe services). For youth receiving WISe services, index month is the first month in SFY 2015 in which youth received services (even if services began in a prior fiscal year). For youth in WISe proxy, index month is first month of Medicaid eligibility in SFY. Region information based on location of residence in index month. Behavioral health history, cross-system involvement history, and functional proxy indicators (pages 2, 3, and 4, respectively) based on 12-month period prior to index month for youth screened for and served by WISe in SFY 2015; based on 12 months in SFY 2015 for youth in WISe proxy. Due to data lag, a small number of youth screened and/or served in SFY 2015 could not yet be linked with administrative data. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, SFY 2015

Behavioral Health History

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into Outpatient (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into CLIP/BRS (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into WISe (SFY 2015)		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Behavioral Health Treatment Need Flags												
No known behavioral health treatment need	85	6.6%	22	12.9%	6	4.1%	57	5.9%	48	5.2%	0	0.0%
Mental health treatment need	1,187	92.8%	145	85.3%	140	95.2%	902	93.8%	871	94.6%	25,090	100.0%
Alcohol or drug treatment need	215	16.8%	32	18.8%	28	19.0%	155	16.1%	158	17.2%	6,800	27.1%
Co-occurring (MH + SUD) treatment need	209	16.3%	29	17.1%	27	18.4%	153	15.9%	157	17.0%	6,800	27.1%
Mental Health Diagnosis History												
Psychotic disorder	182	14.2%	18	10.6%	19	12.9%	145	15.1%	140	15.2%	2,607	10.4%
Mania/bipolar disorder	310	24.2%	26	15.3%	45	30.6%	239	24.8%	244	26.5%	4,718	18.8%
Depression	533	41.7%	66	38.8%	48	32.7%	419	43.6%	392	42.6%	10,974	43.7%
Anxiety	631	49.3%	77	45.3%	84	57.1%	470	48.9%	478	51.9%	12,443	49.6%
ADHD/conduct/impulse	529	41.4%	48	28.2%	62	42.2%	419	43.6%	442	48.0%	5,236	20.9%
Adjustment disorder	177	13.8%	20	11.8%	29	19.7%	128	13.3%	140	15.2%	2,424	9.7%
Mental Health Prescription History												
Antipsychotic	347	27.1%	37	21.8%	42	28.6%	268	27.9%	263	28.6%	4,436	17.7%
Antimania	36	2.8%	2	1.2%	5	3.4%	29	3.0%	21	2.3%	436	1.7%
Antidepressants	549	42.9%	66	38.8%	60	40.8%	423	44.0%	407	44.2%	9,442	37.6%
Antianxiety	212	16.6%	23	13.5%	26	17.7%	163	16.9%	168	18.2%	4,702	18.7%
ADHD	481	37.6%	43	25.3%	68	46.3%	370	38.5%	357	38.8%	6,299	25.1%
Mental Health Service History												
MH inpatient	154	12.0%	14	8.2%	10	6.8%	130	13.5%	122	13.2%	1,905	7.6%
MH outpatient - DBHR	1,049	82.0%	121	71.2%	121	82.3%	807	83.9%	789	85.7%	17,173	68.4%
MH outpatient - HCA	343	26.8%	33	19.4%	35	23.8%	275	28.6%	269	29.2%	4,087	16.3%
MH outpatient - Tribal	121	9.5%	24	14.1%	13	8.8%	84	8.7%	68	7.4%	1,363	5.4%
CA Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	159	12.4%	17	10.0%	105	71.4%	37	3.8%	31	3.4%	972	3.9%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Service History												
SUD inpatient	30	2.3%	8	4.7%	4	2.7%	18	1.9%	22	2.4%	1,115	4.4%
SUD outpatient	103	8.1%	18	10.6%	18	12.2%	67	7.0%	78	8.5%	3,040	12.1%
SUD detoxification services	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	391	1.6%
SUD other (case mgmt, assessmt, op sub, other)	136	10.6%	18	10.6%	20	13.6%	98	10.2%	107	11.6%	3,983	15.9%
Any SUD services	151	11.8%	24	14.1%	21	14.3%	106	11.0%	114	12.4%	4,595	18.3%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,279	100%	170	100%	147	100%	962	100%	921	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,282		171		147		964		924		25,090	

NOTES: See information on page 1.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, SFY 2015

Cross-System Involvement History

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into Outpatient (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into CLIP/BRS (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into WISe (SFY 2015)		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)			
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Children's Administration (CA) History												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services (BRS)	159	12.4%	17	10.0%	105	71.4%	37	3.8%	31	3.4%	972	3.9%
Foster Care	343	26.8%	43	25.3%	142	96.6%	158	16.4%	138	15.0%	3,873	15.4%
Any CA Services	877	68.6%	94	55.3%	146	99.3%	637	66.2%	611	66.3%	10,300	41.1%
Juvenile Justice History												
Juvenile Rehabilitation Services (JRA)	37	2.9%	9	5.3%	5	3.4%	23	2.4%	22	2.4%	972	3.9%
Any arrests	196	15.3%	16	9.4%	32	21.8%	148	15.4%	148	16.1%	3,840	15.3%
Any convictions	248	19.4%	30	17.6%	34	23.1%	184	19.1%	171	18.6%	5,534	22.1%
Any juvenile justice (JRA, arrests, convictions)	315	24.6%	38	22.4%	45	30.6%	232	24.1%	221	24.0%	6,903	27.5%
Developmental Disabilities Admin (DDA) History												
Any DDA services	62	4.8%	10	5.9%	12	8.2%	40	4.2%	37	4.0%	1,112	4.4%
Economic Services Administration (ESA) History												
Basic Food	752	58.8%	90	52.9%	47	32.0%	615	63.9%	611	66.3%	16,379	65.3%
TANF	209	16.3%	22	12.9%	15	10.2%	172	17.9%	178	19.3%	4,465	17.8%
Health Care Authority (HCA) History												
Any ER visits	641	50.1%	81	47.6%	66	44.9%	494	51.4%	473	51.4%	13,439	53.6%
Any ER visits with mental health diagnosis	314	24.6%	32	18.8%	30	20.4%	252	26.2%	249	27.0%	5,755	22.9%
Any ER visits with substance use disorder diagnosis	101	7.9%	11	6.5%	7	4.8%	83	8.6%	76	8.3%	3,024	12.1%
Out-of-Home Placement History												
Any out-of-home placement	478	37.4%	58	34.1%	142	96.6%	278	28.9%	254	27.6%	5,941	23.7%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,279	100%	170	100%	147	100%	962	100%	921	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,282		171		147		964		924		25,090	

Includes foster care, CLIP, state hospital, community psychiatric inpatient, residential habilitation center, JRA institution.

NOTES: See information on page 1.

WISe Screened, Served, and Proxy Populations, SFY 2015

Functional Proxy Indicators

	SCREENED for WISe								SERVED by WISe		WISe PROXY (SFY 2015)	
	ALL YOUTH SCREENED FOR WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into Outpatient (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into CLIP/BRS (SFY 2015)		SUBGROUP Youth Screened into WISe (SFY 2015)		ALL YOUTH RECEIVING WISe SERVICES (SFY 2015)			
	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Mental Health Inpatient Stays												
DBHR-MH Child Long Term Inpatient (CLIP) ¹	10	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	9	0.9%	12	1.3%	56	0.2%
DBHR-MH State Mental Hospitals	17	1.3%	1	0.6%	3	2.0%	13	1.4%	16	1.7%	202	0.8%
DBHR-MH Community Inpatient	137	10.7%	14	8.2%	7	4.8%	116	12.1%	105	11.4%	1,757	7.0%
Children's Administration Encounters												
Behavioral Rehabilitation Services	159	12.4%	17	10.0%	105	71.4%	37	3.8%	31	3.4%	972	3.9%
Other intensive services	78	6.1%	5	2.9%	19	12.9%	54	5.6%	56	6.1%	257	1.0%
In CA placement in year with >3 lifetime CA out-of-home placements	232	18.1%	32	18.8%	115	78.2%	85	8.8%	72	7.8%	2,910	11.6%
Other Risk Indicators												
JRA services	37	2.9%	9	5.3%	5	3.4%	23	2.4%	22	2.4%	972	3.9%
Convicted, deferred or diverted	248	19.4%	30	17.6%	34	23.1%	184	19.1%	171	18.6%	5,534	22.1%
RSN crisis encounter	339	26.5%	40	23.5%	25	17.0%	274	28.5%	284	30.8%	6,323	25.2%
Homelessness	9	0.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	8	0.8%	11	1.2%	2,204	8.8%
4+ Psychotropic Rx for at least 60 days in year	175	13.7%	22	12.9%	30	20.4%	123	12.8%	122	13.2%	2,973	11.8%
2+ Medical Inpatient Admissions with primary MI diagnosis on claim	49	3.8%	3	1.8%	4	2.7%	42	4.4%	47	5.1%	707	2.8%
2+ Emergency Department visits with primary MI diagnosis on claim	116	9.1%	6	3.5%	16	10.9%	94	9.8%	96	10.4%	2,296	9.2%
DBHR-MH utilization in year at or above the 90th percentile	673	52.6%	85	50.0%	89	60.5%	499	51.9%	506	54.9%	5,114	20.4%
<i>Diagnosed in medical claim/encounter . . .</i>												
Drug overdose	55	4.3%	9	5.3%	2	1.4%	44	4.6%	42	4.6%	1,380	5.5%
Other poisoning	68	5.3%	8	4.7%	6	4.1%	54	5.6%	48	5.2%	1,823	7.3%
Eating disorder	16	1.3%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	14	1.5%	12	1.3%	899	3.6%
Suicide/self-injury	53	4.1%	9	5.3%	6	4.1%	38	4.0%	42	4.6%	774	3.1%
Possible suicide/self-injury	9	0.7%	2	1.2%	1	0.7%	6	0.6%	9	1.0%	281	1.1%
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) treatment need flag	215	16.8%	32	18.8%	28	19.0%	155	16.1%	158	17.2%	6,800	27.1%
TOTAL POPULATION with linked data available	1,279	100%	170	100%	147	100%	962	100%	921	100%	25,090	100%
TOTAL POPULATION	1,282		171		147		964		924		25,090	

NOTES: ¹An anomaly occurred in CLIP data in SFY 2015, yielding an undercount of youth in CLIP in this table. See additional information on page 1.

WISe Service Characteristics, SFY 2015

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶													
	NUMBER	PERCENT	Grays Harbor- Cowlitz		Greater Columbia		North Sound		Pierce		Southwest		Spokane		Thurston-Mason	
			NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Program Totals																
WISe clients (unduplicated in SFY)	924		19		191		166		195		125		*		225	
Service encounters	70,766		915		10,305		15,020		16,465		10,023		*		18,001	
Service hours	69,392		760		7,260		15,434		20,142		11,906		*		13,868	
Service months	4,950		55		932		1,006		1,044		583		*		1,326	
Service Provider Type																
Below Masters level	29,952	43.2%	328	43.2%	3,411	47.0%	7,142	46.3%	10,462	51.9%	5,463	45.9%	*	*	3,145	22.7%
MA/PhD	26,304	37.9%	342	45.0%	2,875	39.6%	5,545	35.9%	6,496	32.3%	4,218	35.4%	*	*	6,806	49.1%
Peer counselor	11,627	16.8%	70	9.2%	741	10.2%	2,521	16.3%	2,804	13.9%	1,841	15.5%	*	*	3,650	26.3%
Psychiatrist/MD	1,021	1.5%	6	0.8%	183	2.5%	221	1.4%	292	1.5%	132	1.1%	*	*	188	1.4%
Other	454.8	0.7%	14	1.8%	49	0.7%	5	0.0%	56	0.3%	252	2.1%	*	*	79	0.6%
Designated MH professional	32.7	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	0.2%	0	0.0%	*	*	0	0.0%
Service Location																
Home	27,339	39.4%	356	46.9%	0	0.0%	5,096	33.0%	11,232	55.8%	4,156	34.9%	*	*	6,495	46.8%
Other	18,938	27.3%	130	17.1%	3	0.0%	5,339	34.6%	4,861	24.1%	5,145	43.2%	*	*	3,461	25.0%
MH outpatient facility	18,685	26.9%	143	18.9%	7,254	99.9%	4,279	27.7%	2,500	12.4%	1,623	13.6%	*	*	2,871	20.7%
School	3,303	4.8%	65	8.6%	0	0.0%	471	3.1%	1,202	6.0%	790	6.6%	*	*	770	5.6%
Emergency department - hospital	674	1.0%	33	4.3%	2	0.0%	150	1.0%	259	1.3%	147	1.2%	*	*	83	0.6%
Residential care setting	229	0.3%	8	1.0%	0	0.0%	49	0.3%	87	0.4%	22	0.2%	*	*	63	0.5%
Correctional facility	224	0.3%	25	3.2%	0	0.0%	50	0.3%	1	0.0%	24	0.2%	*	*	124	0.9%
Treatment Modality																
Individual treatment services	24,579	35.4%	334	44.0%	2,994	41.2%	3,909	25.3%	8,239	40.9%	6,596	55.4%	*	*	2,496	18.0%
Peer support	11,719	16.9%	61	8.0%	531	7.3%	1,608	10.4%	4,950	24.6%	1,549	13.0%	*	*	3,020	21.8%
Child and family team meeting	10,280	14.8%	62	8.1%	1,346	18.5%	3,057	19.8%	2,362	11.7%	1,144	9.6%	*	*	2,309	16.6%
Care coordination services	7,317	10.5%	40	5.2%	878	12.1%	3,855	25.0%	80	0.4%	308	2.6%	*	*	2,155	15.5%
Family treatment	7,214	10.4%	83	10.9%	495	6.8%	1,190	7.7%	3,113	15.5%	856	7.2%	*	*	1,478	10.7%
Crisis services	2,137	3.1%	48	6.3%	487	6.7%	284	1.8%	761	3.8%	375	3.1%	*	*	182	1.3%
Group treatment services	1,341	1.9%	0	0.0%	45	0.6%	1,262	8.2%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	*	*	19	0.1%
Other intensive services	1,261	1.8%	0	0.0%	33	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	1,229	8.9%
Intake evaluation	1,144	1.6%	19	2.5%	99	1.4%	10	0.1%	344	1.7%	431	3.6%	*	*	239	1.7%
Medication management	917	1.3%	11	1.5%	157	2.2%	195	1.3%	197	1.0%	267	2.2%	*	*	89	0.6%
Rehabilitation case mgmt	681	1.0%	20	2.6%	119	1.6%	5	0.0%	84	0.4%	45	0.4%	*	*	407	2.9%
Involuntary Tx investigation	487	0.7%	74	9.7%	0	0.0%	20	0.1%	0	0.0%	225	1.9%	*	*	168	1.2%
Interpreter services	175	0.3%	0	0.0%	70	1.0%	39	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	*	*	66	0.5%
Therapeutic psychoeducation	104	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	98	0.8%	*	*	6	0.0%
Medication monitoring	12	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	6	0.0%
Integrated SUD/MH screening	10	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	0	0.0%
Psychological assessment	9	0.0%	9	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	0	0.0%
Integrated SUD/MH assessment	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	*	*	0	0.0%

NOTES: WISe services include all mental health outpatient service encounters submitted to CIS with the WISe "U8" modifier and other WISe-approved outpatient services received in a month with at least one "U8" service. Service provider type, location, and treatment modality are based on the distribution of WISe service hours. Youth served in more than one region during SFY 2015 have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the fiscal year. Service months for youth served in more than one region during a month have been allocated to the region in which they received the greatest number of WISe "U8" service encounters during the month. Service encounters and service hours are allocated to the region in which they occurred. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals have been suppressed to protect confidentiality.

WISe Outcomes


Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) at Intake and 6-Month Follow-Up, SFY 2015 Cohort

	WASHINGTON ALL WISe SERVICES		BY REGION ▶											
			Grays Harbor- Cowlitz		Greater Columbia		North Sound		Pierce		Southwest		Thurston-Mason	
	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.	Intake	6 Mos.
Number of WISe Clients with Initial Full CANS in SFY 2015 and 6-Month Follow-Up	250		*		67		51		63		40		25	
Total Counts of Needs and Strengths														
Average # of actionable treatment needs	17	13	*	*	15	11	17	13	19	15	16	13	17	13
Average # of identified strengths	7	9	*	*	7	9	6	7	8	10	7	9	9	9
Needs: Behavioral/Emotional	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Emotional control problems	82%	65%	*	*	91%	60%	82%	70%	79%	73%	83%	73%	71%	42%
Attention/impulse problems	74%	61%	*	*	73%	56%	75%	68%	80%	61%	68%	63%	71%	58%
Mood disturbance	69%	54%	*	*	79%	56%	77%	46%	58%	52%	83%	68%	42%	48%
Oppositional behavior	65%	49%	*	*	63%	50%	75%	55%	70%	54%	46%	41%	65%	39%
Anxiety	59%	55%	*	*	54%	43%	75%	66%	59%	49%	59%	68%	42%	55%
Needs: Risk Factors	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Decision-making problems	66%	55%	*	*	74%	43%	64%	61%	76%	68%	49%	51%	48%	55%
Danger to others	51%	29%	*	*	44%	23%	43%	34%	65%	37%	56%	32%	39%	13%
Intended misbehavior	33%	27%	*	*	31%	24%	50%	32%	25%	30%	32%	27%	29%	23%
Non-suicidal self-injury	24%	10%	*	*	17%	7%	20%	14%	27%	10%	37%	12%	19%	10%
Suicide risk	23%	10%	*	*	26%	7%	16%	9%	28%	15%	32%	15%	10%	0%
Needs: Life Domain Functioning	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Family problems	86%	61%	*	*	89%	59%	86%	61%	86%	59%	90%	66%	77%	65%
Living situation problems	75%	47%	*	*	86%	39%	73%	61%	79%	52%	76%	39%	48%	32%
Interpersonal problems	70%	57%	*	*	70%	51%	79%	57%	68%	55%	76%	68%	55%	65%
School behavior problems	53%	36%	*	*	41%	26%	57%	38%	61%	42%	51%	39%	52%	39%
School achievement problems	51%	47%	*	*	51%	40%	50%	48%	54%	45%	56%	61%	39%	52%
Needs: Other Domains	<i>Top 5 Actionable Treatment Needs at Intake Statewide</i>													
Family stress	77%	59%	*	*	74%	51%	70%	64%	92%	69%	83%	61%	58%	35%
Caregiver difficulty supervising youth	40%	25%	*	*	47%	24%	41%	29%	39%	30%	32%	12%	32%	16%
Caregiver deficits in independent living skills	38%	39%	*	*	20%	20%	33%	21%	55%	65%	69%	85%	38%	50%
Caregiver limited in financial/other resources	35%	33%	*	*	22%	22%	17%	14%	55%	61%	69%	62%	63%	25%
Youth deficits in educational goals/progress	34%	29%	*	*	29%	24%	48%	46%	25%	23%	41%	27%	35%	26%
Strengths	<i>Top 5 Youth Strengths by Growth Over Time Statewide</i>													
Educational system strengths	58%	77%	*	*	53%	80%	64%	79%	46%	72%	66%	80%	65%	68%
Resiliency	36%	54%	*	*	39%	51%	20%	29%	51%	75%	22%	51%	45%	58%
Resourcefulness	54%	65%	*	*	64%	77%	41%	45%	54%	63%	59%	66%	58%	81%
Family strengths	48%	58%	*	*	56%	63%	20%	34%	59%	70%	46%	59%	52%	58%
Recreational activities	54%	64%	*	*	50%	60%	48%	55%	59%	68%	59%	83%	55%	55%

NOTES: These statistics reflect change over time in actionable treatment needs and youth strengths as identified on the CANS Full Assessment, conducted at intake and at 6-month follow up, for youth participating in the WISe program. The sample includes youth who completed a CANS initial assessment in SFY 2015 and subsequently completed a 6-month reassessment as of 10/31/2015. Youth served in different regions at the intake and 6-month time point have been allocated to the region in which their 6-month CANS was completed. 1 record(s) from CLIP consumers were omitted from this report. For needs items, the table displays the proportion of children with clinically significant treatment needs at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 2 ("need for action") or 3 ("need for immediate or intensive action") instead of a 0 ("no current need") or 1 ("watchful waiting/prevention"). The top five treatment needs within each domain, by proportion at intake/initial assessment statewide, are shown. A decline in needs at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., a decrease in the proportion of children and youth with clinically significant treatment needs in these areas. For strengths items, the table displays the proportion of children with strengths in particular areas at each time point, i.e. the clinician rated the item as a 0 ("significant strength") or 1 ("some strength") instead of a 2 ("potential strength") or 3 ("no strength identified at this time"). The top five strengths, by growth over time statewide, are shown. An increase at the time of the 6-month reassessment represents improvement for these measures, i.e., an increase in the proportion of children and youth with identified strengths that can be used in treatment planning to facilitate recovery. *Cells representing fewer than ten individuals have been suppressed to protect confidentiality. Please note that the Spokane region had 0 individuals who met sample criteria, and thus is not included in the table.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by County

Data Available as of December 2015




	HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
WASHINGTON	578	2,985	19%
Adams	0	8	0%
Asotin	*	12	10-24%
Benton	51	102	50%
Chelan	0	32	0%
Clallam	0	54	0%
Clark	76	195	39%
Columbia	0	2	0%
Cowlitz	25	83	30%
Douglas	0	18	0%
Ferry	0	5	0%
Franklin	16	43	37%
Garfield	*	1	>90%
Grant	0	40	0%
Grays Harbor	0	50	0%
Island	*	25	<10%
Jefferson	0	13	0%
King	0	527	0%
Kitsap	0	122	0%
Kittitas	*	19	25-49%
Klickitat	*	14	50-74%
Lewis	0	58	0%
Lincoln	0	5	0%
Mason	23	33	70%
Okanogan	0	22	0%
Pacific	0	8	0%
Pend Oreille	0	7	0%
Pierce	113	345	33%
San Juan	0	3	0%
Skagit	28	69	41%
Skamania	*	6	50-74%
Snohomish	42	264	16%
Spokane	21	335	6%
Stevens	*	28	<10%
Thurston	120	109	110%
Wahkiakum	0	4	0%
Walla Walla	12	40	30%
Whatcom	34	99	34%
Whitman	*	10	25-49%
Yakima	62	175	35%

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of December 2015, based on the number of children residing in each county receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as March 2015 and as recent as October 2015. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts of less than ten have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.

WISe Progress to Initial Full Implementation Target by Region

Data Available as of December 2015



Regions December 2015		HIGHEST MONTHLY CASELOAD	INITIAL MID-LEVEL MONTHLY SERVICE TARGET	PROGRESS TO TARGET
ALL REGIONS		578	2,985	19%
Chelan-Douglas	Chelan	0	50	0%
	Douglas			
Grays Harbor-Cowlitz	Cowlitz	25	133	19%
	Grays Harbor			
Greater Columbia	Asotin	153	418	37%
	Benton			
	Columbia			
	Franklin			
	Garfield			
	Kittitas			
	Klickitat			
	Walla Walla			
	Whitman			
	Yakima			
King	King	0	527	0%
North Sound	Island	104	460	23%
	San Juan			
	Skagit			
	Snohomish			
Whatcom				
Peninsula	Clallam	0	189	0%
	Jefferson			
	Kitsap			
Pierce	Pierce	113	345	33%
Southwest	Clark	76	201	38%
	Skamania			
Spokane	Adams	22	450	5%
	Ferry			
	Grant			
	Lincoln			
	Okanogan			
	Pend Oreille			
	Spokane			
	Stevens			
Thurston-Mason	Mason	141	142	99%
	Thurston			
Timberlands	Lewis	0	70	0%
	Pacific			
	Wahkiakum			

NOTES: Table displays the highest monthly WISe caseload recorded as of December 2015, based on the number of children residing in each region receiving WISe services. Due to data lag, progress shown in some areas may reflect WISe caseload as far back as March 2015 and as recent as October 2015. Initial mid-level monthly service targets reflect mid-level estimates of WISe youth projected to be served each month at full implementation; these initial forecasts are estimates and subject to change (please refer to the RDA document, "Addendum to 'Initial Estimates of WISe Utilization at Full Implementation,'" dated February 26, 2015). *Caseload counts less than ten but greater than zero have been suppressed to protect confidentiality, and corresponding measures of "Progress to Target" are shown as ranges.