



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: October 03, 2018

TIME: 9:11 AM

WSR 18-20-125

Agency: Health Care Authority

Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR _____

Continuance of WSR _____

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 18-15-031 ; or

Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or

Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject)

WAC 182-551-1860 Concurrent care for hospice clients age twenty and younger.

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
November 6, 2018	10:00 AM	Health Care Authority Cherry Street Plaza Sue Crystal, Room 106A 626 8 th Ave, Olympia WA 98504	Metered public parking is available street side around building. A map is available at: https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/program/Driving-parking-checkin-instructions.pdf or directions can be obtained by calling: (360) 725-1000

Date of intended adoption: Not sooner than November 7, 2018 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: HCA Rules Coordinator

Address: PO Box 42716, Olympia WA 98504-2716

Email: arc@hca.wa.gov

Fax: (360) 586-9727

Other:

By (date) November 6, 2018

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Amber Lougheed

Phone: (360) 725-1349

Fax: (360) 586-9727

TTY: Telecommunication Relay Services (TRS): 711

Email: amber.lougheed@hca.wa.gov

Other:

By (date) November 2, 2018

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The agency is amending WAC 182-551-1860, Concurrent care for hospice clients age twenty and younger, to remove language related to exception to rule and allow for medical necessity review of noncovered services. These changes comply with early and periodic screening, diagnostic and treatment (EPSDT) program rules under 42 CFR, Sec. 441, Subpart B, and WAC 182-534-0100, EPSDT.

Reasons supporting proposal: See Purpose

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 41.05.021, 41.05.160

Statute being implemented: RCW 41.05.021, 41.05.160

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Federal Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
State Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: N/A

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Health Care Authority

<input type="checkbox"/> Private
<input type="checkbox"/> Public
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Vance Taylor	PO Box 42716, Olympia WA 98504-2716	360-725-1344
Implementation:	Nancy Hite	PO Box 42716, Olympia WA 98504-2716	360-725-1611
Enforcement:	Nancy Hite	PO Box 42716, Olympia WA 98504-2716	360-725-1611

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? Yes No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

No: Please explain: RCW 34.05.328 does not apply to Health Care Authority rules unless requested by the Joint Administrative Rules Review Committee or applied voluntarily.

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) (Internal government operations)
- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) (Incorporation by reference)
- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language)
- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Dictated by statute)
- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) (Set or adjust fees)
- RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW ____.

Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:


COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES

If the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

- No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. The revisions to this rule do not impose additional compliance costs or requirements on providers.
- Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

- Name:
- Address:
- Phone:
- Fax:
- TTY:
- Email:
- Other:

Date: October 3, 2018	Signature: 
Name: Wendy Barcus	
Title: HCA Rules Coordinator	

WAC 182-551-1860 Concurrent care for hospice clients age twenty and younger. (1) In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 1396d (o)(1)(C), a client age twenty and younger may voluntarily elect hospice care without waiving any rights to services that the client is entitled to under Title XIX Medicaid and Title XXI Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) that are related to the treatment of the client's condition for which a diagnosis of terminal illness has been made.

(2) The related services in subsection (1) of this section and medications requested for clients age twenty and younger are subject to the medicaid agency's specific program rules governing those services or medications.

(3) (~~(If the services in this section include noncovered services listed in WAC 182-501-0070, the provider must request an exception to rule under WAC 182-501-0160.)~~) When a noncovered service is recommended based on the early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT) program, the agency evaluates the request for medical necessity based on the definition in WAC 182-500-0070 and the process in WAC 182-501-0165.

(4) If the medicaid agency denies a request for a covered service, refer to WAC 182-502-0160, billing a client, for when a client may be responsible to pay for a covered service.