# Level of physical and behavioral health integration at practice/clinic site

#### **Metric Information**

**Metric description:** Practice/clinic site measure reflecting responses to MeHAF Site Self Assessment (SSA) Survey.

Reporting period and deadline: Semi-annual, DY 3 through DY 5

Reporting Period	Reporting Deadline
January – June 2019	07/31/2019
July – December 2019	01/31/2020
January – June 2020	07/31/2020
July – December 2020	01/31/2021
January – June 2021	07/31/2021
July – December 2021	01/31/2022

#### **DSRIP Program Summary**

**Project affiliation:** Project 2A ■ Project 3A □

**Metric respondent criteria:** All practice/clinic sites identified by the ACH as a partnering provider engaged in Project 2A transformation strategies.

#### **DSRIP Metric Details**

#### Question and response format:

- The Maine Health Access Foundation (MeHAF) developed the Site Self Assessment (SSA) Survey to assess levels of primary and behavioral care integration.
- The SSA Survey focuses on two domains: 1) integrated services and patient and family services, and 2) practice/organization.
- Each domain has characteristics to rate on a scale of 1 to 10 depending on the level of integration or patient-centered care achieved.
- Practice/clinic sites may use the MeHAF facilitation guide to assist in completing the SSA.<sup>1</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://waportal.org/resources/mehaf-facilitation-guide

Question	Response format
Practices participating in Project 2A should complete the	Using the 1-10 scale, respondents
MeHAF SSA Survey (dated September 29, 2014) found as	indicate one numeric rating for each
an attachment (ATTACHMENT).	of the 21 characteristics.
Respondents should complete both sections ('Integrated Services and Patient and Family-Centeredness' and 'Practice /Organization'	The numeric rating for each of the 21 characteristics are submitted to the ACH.
Respondents should record responses for each of the 21 questions, using whole numbers ranging from 1 to 10.	

#### **Potential Follow-up Questions**

Follow-up questions are included for some metrics. The Independent Assessor (IA) and/or the Independent External Evaluator (IEE) may use these questions in follow-up conversations with partnering provider sites (practice/clinic, community-based organization) and ACHs. ACHs may elect to use them for their own follow-up activities with partnering provider sites.

## **Version Control**

July 2018 Release: Full P4R metric specifications released.

**November 2018:** MeHAF SSA Survey (ATTACHMENT) replaced with version with typo correction, removal of identifying information that is not applicable to MTP, and PDF with higher resolution for readability.

March 2019: Includes link to new resource ('MeHAF facilitation guide') under DSRIP Metric Details.



### Instructions for Completing the MeHAF Site Self Assessment (SSA) Survey

The purpose of this assessment is to show your current status along several dimensions of integrated care and to stimulate conversations among your integrated care team members about where you would like to be along the continuum of integrated care. Please focus on your site's *current* extent of integration for patient and family-centered primary care, behavioral and mental health care. Future repeated administrations of the SSA form will help to show changes your site is making over time. Organizations working with more than one site should ask each *site* to complete the SSA.

Please respond in terms of your site's *current* status on each dimension. Please rate your patient care teams on the extent to which they currently do each activity for the patients/clients in the integrated site. The patient care team includes staff members who work together to manage integrated care for patients. This often, but not always, involves health care providers, behavioral health specialists, specialty care providers, case managers or health educators and front office staff.

Using the 1-10 scale in each row, circle (or mark in a color or bold, if completing electronically) one numeric rating for each of the 21 characteristics. If you are unsure or do not know, please give your best guess, and indicate to the side any comments or feedback you would like to give regarding that item. NOTE: There are no right or wrong answers. If some of this wording does not seem appropriate for your project, please suggest alternative wording that would be more applicable, on the form itself or in a separate email.

This form was adapted from similar formats used to assess primary care for chronic diseases.

Identifying Information:	
Name of your site:	Date:
Name of person completing the SSA form:	Your job role:
Did you discuss these ratings with other members of your team? YES NO	

September 29, 2014

MeHAF – Site Self Assessment

I. Integrated Services and	d Patient and	Family-Ce	nterednes	S		(Cir	cle one NU	JMBER for	each char	acteristic)
Characteristic										
Level of integration: primary care and mental/behavioral health care	none; consumers go to separate sites for services	and systems	ion among dit	fferent types	the same site regular comm different type	ated; both are e; separate sy nunication an es of providers of appointme	vstems, nong s; some	are integrated, with one reception area; appointments jointly scheduled; shared site and systems, including electronic health record and shared treatment plans. Warm hand-offs occur regularly; regular team meetings.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Screening and assessment for emotional/behavioral health needs (e.g., stress, depression, anxiety, substance abuse)      (ALTERNATE: If you are a behavioral or mental health site.)	are not done (in this site)	are occas screening/as not standard		otocols are		ated into care sment results prior to treatr	are	tools are integrated into practice pathways to routinely assess MH/BH/PC needs of all patients; standardized screening/ assessment protocols are used and documented.		
screening and assessment for medical care needs)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3. Treatment plan(s) for primary care <i>and</i> behavioral/mental health care	do not exist					s for	are integrated and accessible to all providers and care managers; patients with high behavioral health needs have specialty services that are coordinated with primary care			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
(or informed by) best practice evidence for BH/MH and s	does not exist in a systematic way	use of the ev	sed approach	e shared	available, buintegrated int	based guideli t not systema to care delive sed treatment es of individu	itically ry; use of depends	for treatmen supported the and reminde	vidence-base it and practic nrough provicers; is applied y and consis	es; is ler education
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

September 29, 2014 MeHAF – Site Self Assessment

5. Patient/family involvement in care plan	does not occur		ve; clinician or with occasiona y input		about integrated treatment are	imes included ated care; dec e done collab atients/familie	cisions about oratively	is an integral part of the system of care; collaboration occurs among patient/family and team members and takes into account family, work or community barriers and resources			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
6. Communication with patients about integrated care	does not occur	of printed m	poradically, or aterial; no tailc eds, culture, la e	ring to	team member patients aborencourage proparticipants in making; tailo	s a part of partiers communicut integrated of artiers to become and descript to patien learning styles.	cate with care; come active ecision t/family	integration properties interactions members tra	ematic part of blans; is an int with all patien ained in <i>how</i> to te with patient are	tegral part of nts; team o	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
7. Follow-up of assessments, tests, treatment, referrals and other services	is done at the initiative of the patient/family members	initiative of i	initiative of individual providers; no system for monitoring extent of follow-up			is monitored by the practice team as a normal part of care delivery; interpretation of assessments and lab tests usually done in response to patient inquiries; minimal outreach to patients who miss appointments			is done by a systematic process that includes monitoring patient utilization; includes interpretation of assessments/lab tests for all patients; is customized to patients' needs, using varied methods; is proactive in outreach to patients who miss appointments		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
8. Social support (for patients to implement recommended treatment)	is not addressed	based on ar	ssed in genera n assessment o eeds or resouro	of patient's	collaborative available (e.	raged through exploration of g., significant oups, supportual needs	of resources others,	assess need services and support plan	f standard prads, link patient d follow up on ns using house or other resou	ts with social ehold,	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
9. Linking to Community Resources	does not occur		ed to a list or poormation for re	•	occurs through a referral system; staff member discusses patient needs, barriers, and appropriate resources before making referral			is based on an in-place system for coordinated referrals, referral follow-up and communication among sites, community resource organizations, and patients			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

Adapted from the PCRS – Developed by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Diabetes Initiative, <a href="www.diabetesintiative.org">www.diabetesintiative.org</a>; Also adapted from the ACIC survey developed by the MacColl Institute for Healthcare Innovation, Group Health Cooperative.

September 29, 2014 MeHAF – Site Self Assessment

MeHAF Plus Items										
10. Patient care that is based on (or informed by) best practice evidence for prescribing of psychotropic medications	does not exist in a systematic way	depends on each provider's own use of the evidence; some shared evidence-based approaches occur in individual cases			available, bu integrated in evidence-ba	-based guideli t not systema to care deliver sed treatment es of individua	tically ry; use of depends	follow evidence-based guidelines for treatment and practices; is supported through provider education and reminders; is applied appropriately and consistently; support provided by consulting psychiatrist or comparable expert		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11. Tracking of vulnerable patient groups that require additional monitoring and intervention	does not occur	is passive; clinician may track individual patients based on circumstances			patient lists exist and individual clinicians/care managers have varying approaches to outreach with no guiding protocols or systematic tracking			patient lists (registries) with specified criteria and outreach protocols are monitored on a regular basis and outreach is performed consistently with information flowing back to the care team		
12. Accessibility and efficiency of behavioral health practitioners	behavioral health practitioner(s) are not readily available	times but is not defined by protocol or formal agreement; unclear how much population penetration behavioral health has into primary care population			health practifor warm har open clinic hess than 6 p	r present; beh tioners may be ndoffs for som ours and may eatients per cli comparable no nic volume)	e available e of the average nic day per umber	is fully propractitioners handoffs at a average over clinician based on cli	esent; behavi s are available all open clinic er 6 patients p (or comparat nic volume)	oral health of for warm hours and er clinic day ble number
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

# II. Practice/Organization (Circle one NUMBER for each characteristic)

Characteristic	Levels										
Organizational leadership for integrated care	does not exist or shows little interest	views this in	ortive in a gene nitiative as a "s ner than a chan	special	ongoing qua initiatives; fe	ed by senior rs, as one of a lity improvemow internal reso ch as staff tim	ent ources	strongly supports care integration as a part of the site's expected change in delivery strategy; provides support and/or resources for team time, staff education, information systems, etc.; integration project leaders viewed as organizational role models			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Patient care team for implementing integrated care	does not exist		ut has little col n members; no y		defined roles communicat among mem	efined, each m s/responsibiliti ion and cohes ibers; member e complement	es; good iveness rs are cross-	is a concept embraced, supported and rewarded by the senior leadership; "teamness" is part of the system culture; case conferences and team meetings are regularly scheduled			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
3. Providers' engagement with integrated care ("buy-in")	is minimal		d some of the ders not enthu are		some conce	rately consiste rns; some pro enting intende components	viders not	enthusiastic	arly all provide ally implemer s of your site's	nting all	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Continuity of care between primary care and behavioral/mental health	does not exist	with multiple	ways assured; e needs are re oordination and	sponsible for	through the other strateg	ed for some pa use of a care r gy for coordina os for a pilot gr	nanager or ting needed	continuity of patients are treatment as	are in place to care, to assu screened, asso needed, trea and follow-up	re all sessed for atment	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

September 29, 2014 MeHAF – Site Self Assessment

5. Coordination of referrals and specialists	does not exist	follow-up, re into the patie	dic, lacking sy view or incorp ent's plan of c ntact with pri	poration care; little	care manage referrals app referrals sen coordination adjusting pat	rough teamwement to recorropriately; rept to primary si with specialisients' care plantribute to plantribute to plantribute	mmend port on ite; sts in ans;	is accomplished by having systems in place to refer, track incomplete referrals and follow-up with patient and/or specialist to integrate referral into care plan; includes specialists' involvement in primary care team training and quality improvement			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
6. Data systems/patient records	are based on paper records only; separate records used by each provider	an ad hoc ba exist for eac	ed among pro asis; multiple h patient; no identify trend	records aggregate	shared amor who all have medical reco lab/test resul data to identi	ta system (pa ng the patient access to the rd, treatment ts; team uses ify trends and a achieve mea	care team, e shared plan and s aggregated launches	has a full EMR accessible to all providers; team uses a registry or EMR to routinely track key indicators of patient outcomes and integration outcomes; indicators reported regularly to management; team uses data to support a continuous QI process			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
7. Patient/family input to integration management	does not occur	promoted sy	n an <i>ad hoc</i> t stematically; itiative to mak	patients	groups, mem focus groups boxes, etc. fo and delivery consideration made aware	od through advances in the state of the stat	ne team, ggestion nt services s under milies are m for input	is considered an essential part of management's decision-making process; systems are in place to ensure consumer input regarding practice policies and service delivery; evidence shows that management acts on the information			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
8. Physician, team and staff education and training for integrated care	does not occur	without routi	n a limited ba ne follow-up o nethods mos	or	is provided for some (e.g. pilot) team members using established and standardized materials, protocols or curricula; includes behavioral change methods such as modeling and practice for role changes; training monitored for staff participation			is supported and incentivized by the site for all providers; continuing education about integration and evidence-based practice is routinely provided to maintain knowledge and skills; job descriptions reflect skills and orientation to care integration			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

9. Funding sources/resources	single grant or funding source; no shared resource streams	streams, but	U	e to costs	some sharir e.g., for son infrastructur used for ner contribute s change to ir	e funding streating of on-site extended from staffing or the staffing or the staffing or the staffing	kpenses,  Iling codes encies s to support h as in-kind	resources s maximizatio	egrated funding hared across on of billing for esources and /	providers; all types of
	1	2	3	4	5 5	6	7	8	9	10